



**Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations
under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)**

askmedsafe

08/01/2015 11:28 a.m.

Please respond

History: This message has been replied to.

To whom it may concern,

I give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

**Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the
Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)**

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO.

I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO.

Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to '**treat**' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**.

I do not wish to speak to my submission.
Kindest Regards

SUBMISSION FORM

Please provide your contact details below. You may also wish to use this form to comment on the proposed amendment.

Name:	
If this submission is made on behalf of an organisation, please name that organisation here:	New Zealand Society of Hospital and Community Dentistry
Please provide a brief description of the organisation if applicable:	<p>The members of the society are dentists and oral health specialists who provide publicly funded dentistry in hospitals and in the community.</p> <p>The purpose of the NZSHCD is to act as a voice for patients who receive special needs care and specialty treatments in New Zealand hospital or community dental departments, to provide peer support to clinicians practicing in these services and to promote clinical excellence and best practice in public dental services.</p> <p>The NZSHCD aims to enable professional support and communication with like - minded oral health professionals</p>
Address/email:	<p>f @ccdhb.org.nz</p> <p>.l@midcentraldhb.govt.nz</p>
Your interest in this topic (for example, local body, consumer, manufacturer, health professional etc):	National society of health professionals
<p>Question 1</p> <p><i>Do you support the proposed amendment? If not, why not?</i></p>	<p>The New Zealand Society of Hospital and Community Dentistry does support the proposed amendment to the Medicine Regulations.</p> <p>The Society agrees with Justice Collins opinion that Fluoride in the concentrations</p>

	<p>used for fluoridation of water supplies is not a medicine within the meaning of the Medicines Act.</p> <p>The Society welcomes the proposal to amend the Medicines Regulations to clarify that Fluoride used for fluoridating water supplies is not a medicine.</p>
<p>Question 2</p> <p><i>Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?</i></p>	<p>The proposal specifies Hydrofluorosilicic acid and Sodium silico fluoride: these are the compounds most suited to community water fluoridation.</p> <p>Sodium Fluoride can also be used and is more suited to smaller water systems. It would seem sensible to include Sodium Fluoride in the amendment to the Regulations so that they are complete and clear.</p>

Please note that all correspondence may be requested by any member of the public under the Official Information Act 1982. If there is any part of your correspondence that you consider should be properly withheld under this legislation, please make this clear in your submission, noting the reasons why you would like the information to be withheld.

If information from your submission is requested under the Act, the Ministry of Health will release your submission to the person who requested it. However, if you are an individual, rather than an organisation, the Ministry will remove your personal details from the submission if you check the following box:

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

All submissions will be acknowledged, and a summary of submissions will be sent to those who request a copy. The summary will include the names of all those who made a submission. In the case of individuals who withhold permission to release personal details, the name of the organisation will be given if supplied.



Re: Fluoride submission

askmedsafe

08/01/2015 12:51 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

Can you please add this to my last submission.

At the bottom of the first form it states that no other fluoride substances are added as in the treatment of the water supply. I have talked to certain scientists involved who divulged to me that a fluoride containing substance was put through the water pipes to clean them. This is not a community water treatment agent but a agent used to 'clean the pipes'. I am sure that this agent, at least temporarily, raises the dosage of the fluoride above the safe dosage that they claim that they are putting in.

I would like to ask why it is necessary to put any 'safe dosage' of any well known poison or neurotoxin into the water!?

I am fairly sure that people both at the city council and a so called anti-fluoride campaigner are/were on the take regarding the legal dumping of the highly toxic fluoride herbicide byproduct into our water supply.

I do not believe that the fluoride commission ,that the the government set up, received any relevant submissions otherwise they would not have come to such a stupid and obviously uninformed decision. Obviously no unbiased scientists were paid to do any research and so none was done, including by the anti-fluoride campaigner that I know personally. I found the books fairly easily at the library myself.

Thank you for your consideration.

On Thu, Jan 8, 2015 at 11:41 AM, <askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz> wrote:

Thank you for your submission



From: [redacted]
 To: [redacted]
 Date: 08/01/2015 11:33 a.m.
 Subject: Fluoride submission

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)
"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?
NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. According to my own research which is easily done at the Hamilton City Library and the internet, and from attending a fluoride meeting at the Hamilton City Council. I have found that the flouride added to water is a well known neurotoxin and carcinogen. It reduces the bodies immune system, destroys muscular structure, may decrease fertility in men and it definitely decreases intelligence in youth. It is extremely harmful to developing brains and retards normal development and growth. The chinese did a study which showed that the more fluoride that is added the less intelligent people became.

2. People were placated and decieved with the statement about a 'repuditable' company supplying the fluoride when in actuality it is a byproduct of herbicide production, that somebody is trying to get rid of.

3. Also the healthy and natural and less harmful form of fluoride is is Calcium Fluoride. (Apparently, one cup of tea has 4 times the amount of this that you need).

4. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine

5. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine

6. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"

7. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation
Medsafe
Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation
Ministry of Health
PO Box 5013
Wellington 6145

Statement of confidentiality: This e-mail message and any accompanying attachments may contain information that is IN-CONFIDENCE and subject to legal privilege.

**Poposed Exemption of Fluoridation agents from the Medicines Act.**

I to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 11:43 a.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.


Dear Sir/Madam,

As fluoride agents added to drinking water supplies are added for the sole purpose of providing a therapeutic effect, ie prevention of tooth decay, I submit that these agents must be classified as medicines and assessed as such.

The current substances used for water fluoridation are not pharmaceutical grade and therefore contain amounts of heavy metals and toxic chemicals that would not be permitted under the Act and I believe it is one reason why an exemption is being sought.

To start exempting products claiming a therapeutic purpose from classification and oversight under the Act would pave the way for future discretionary decisions on the use of other products that are not pharmaceutical grade quality.

I totally object to such powers being given to the government.





Submission regarding fluoridation of drinking water
askmedsafe

08/01/2015 11:47 a.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982
Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:

Email: j

Address: ?

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?


NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.





Flouridation

to: askmedsafe


08/01/2015 12:07 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

Why would you want to poison our water?China and India have it naturally occurring in their water and they Know that it affects people.s IQ and the bone structure of young people. They have done studies to verify these facts.

In the end you will end up paying more for hip operations. Is that what you want? You would save yourself a lot of money if you stop medicating the water and if people want to ingest it, them make flouride tablets available at the chemist.Why would contaminate new Zealand's pure water with a waste product. Look at other countries and you would be surprised. I think you will find that we are very out of date.





Fluoride

to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 12:14 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe.

Name: C

Email

Address: 3

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Sent from my iPad



NZ wide Fluoride submission

o: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 12:23 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982
 Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:

Email: ~~~

Address:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"

4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation
 Medsafe
 Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation
 Ministry of Health
 PO Box 5013
 Wellington 6145

Busy making Hay come rain or shine

SUBMISSION FORM

Please provide your contact details below. You may also wish to use this form to comment on the proposed amendment.

Name:	
If this submission is made on behalf of an organisation, please name that organisation here:	
Please provide a brief description of the organisation if applicable:	
Address/email:	
Your interest in this topic (for example, local body, consumer, manufacturer, health professional etc):	Health professional
<p>Question 1</p> <p><i>Do you support the proposed amendment? If not, why not?</i></p>	I support the proposed amendment
<p>Question 2</p> <p><i>Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?</i></p>	I am not aware of any

Please note that all correspondence may be requested by any member of the public under

the Official Information Act 1982. If there is any part of your correspondence that you consider should be properly withheld under this legislation, please make this clear in your submission, noting the reasons why you would like the information to be withheld.

If information from your submission is requested under the Act, the Ministry of Health will release your submission to the person who requested it. However, if you are an individual, rather than an organisation, the Ministry will remove your personal details from the submission if you check the following box:

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

All submissions will be acknowledged, and a summary of submissions will be sent to those who request a copy. The summary will include the names of all those who made a submission. In the case of individuals who withhold permission to release personal details, the name of the organisation will be given if supplied.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do / do not (delete whichever does not apply) give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name: F

Email:

Address: 1

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

I will choose when I take medicines and when I don't. Adding fluoride to the water does not give me the choice. I suffer from Chronic Fatigue Syndrome(ME) and it poses as a risk to my health if more chemicals are added to my body.

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do / do not (delete whichever does not apply) wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation
Medsafe
Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation
Ministry of Health
PO Box 5013
Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz



Fluoride submission

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 12:53 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982
Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(l) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:
Email:
Address:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines


Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

--

Phone:





Submission

o: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 01:11 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not (delete whichever does not apply) give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:]

Email: -

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*


NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not (delete whichever does not apply) wish to speak to my submission.



**Fluoride**

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 01:16 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

Submission to:

Clinical, Leadership, Protection and Regulation.

Ministry of Health,

PO BOX 5013


Wellington 6145

I have been concerned for many years at how the people in government and in health agencies/administration have been dismissive of all the protests by the general public to the addition of fluoride to their drinking water.

Bacchus Marsh, Victoria Aus. was the first town to be the test case for fluoride. I would recommend that you find out for yourselves the results from official sources.

At the age of 85 years, I am unable to do so but the unofficial feedback I received from friends in Bacchus Marsh was that there followed a very high rise in the rates of breast cancer in 30 yr old women.

Yours sincerely, .



SUBMISSION FORM To: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

I give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” - Medsafe

Name

Email

Address

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries (which is not safe and not effective) therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm” - A Patient’s right to INFORMED CONSENT TO TREATMENT - A Patient’s right to refuse any Treatment
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

To All Health Departments, Health Ministries, ADA,AMA, Councils and Ors. and their interests promoting/forcing/mandating the chronic poisoning of our population, animals, all life and our environment via ‘water fluoridation’ and hence also contaminating/polluting our food chain, we say to you that you are recklessly and wilfully negligent and deficient of any ethics:-

Drinking, eating & bathing in corrosive hazardous waste pollutants hexafluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium etc., (and added also to that hazardous waste is aluminium sulphate) known as water fluoridation chemicals is absolutely insane:-

FLUORIDATION CHEMICALS

AFAM <http://afamildura.wordpress.com/fluoridation-chemicals/>

Raw Fluoridation Chemical Analyses - Freedom of Information - South Australia Water Corp. Raw fluoridation chemical analyses of South Australia's drinking water, listed below. This data has been scanned from original documents provided to Sapphire Eyes Productions by Dr. Andrew Harms and Ann Bressington. These documents show the toxic, heavy metal contaminants contained in the chemicals used to fluoridate your drinking water. These include lead, arsenic, mercury, lead, thallium, beryllium, uranium and more and added is aluminium sulphate.
'FIRE WATER' FILM SOURCE: <http://tiny.cc/9oj4g> Source: <http://sapphireeyesproductions.blogspot.com/> Watch: <http://www.firewaterfilm.com>

The Chemistry of Water Fluoridation - What is Water Fluoridation? <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/the-chemistry-of-water-fluoridation.pdf>

Hydrofluoric Acid - Acutely toxic chemical
http://www-esh.fnal.gov/CourseHandout_Mat/Hydrofluoric_Acid_Safety_Handout.pdf

FLUROSILICIC ACID IS CORROSIVE ON CONTACT AND POISONOUS BY INGESTION AND INHALATION OF ITS VAPOUR.
http://www.csbp.com.au/Media/MSDS/AN/MSDS_Fluorosilicic_Acid.aspx

Explaining the truth about “water fluoridation” and the phosphate mining industry http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LEZ15m-D_n8&feature=share

Fluosilicic Acid. TOXNET profile from Hazardous Substances Data Base <http://www.fluoridealert.org/wp-content/pesticides/fluosilicic.acid.toxnet.hsd.htm>

Raw Fluoridation Chemical Analyses
<http://sapphireeyesproductions.blogspot.com.au/2010/11/foi-water-analysesfor-sa-2010.html>

Hydrofluorosilicic Acid Origins
<http://cof-cof.ca/hydrofluorosilicic-acid-origins/>

D a n g e r o u s S u b s t a n c e s R e g u l a t i o n s
The Dangerous Substances Regulations 2001, prescribe water quality standards in relation to certain substances in surface waters, e.g., rivers, lakes and tidal waters. **The substances include certain pesticides (atrazine, simazine, tributyltin), solvents (dichloromethane, toluene, xylene), metals (arsenic, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, zinc) and certain other compounds (cyanide and fluoride).** The Regulations give further effect to the EU Dangerous Substances Directive (76/464/EC) and give effect to certain provisions of the EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC). http://www.lcc.ie/Environment/Water_Quality/Dangerous_Substances_Regulations/ (link now dead and unable to be found ! but I have the entire document).

+

All the population demand safe water - no fluoride/hexafluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides & co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium etc., and no aluminium sulphate.....STOP WATER FLUORIDATION urgently and irrevocably for all time.

Dialysis Water Pre-treatment - SEE WATER CONTAMINATION 'FLUORIDE' AND 'ALUMINIUM' AND HOW DANGEROUS THEY ARE IS INCLUDED:-

TABLE 1: Haemodialysis Risks associated with Water Contamination; my comment: we should all be getting safe water.

Symptoms Possible Water Contaminants

Anaemia	Aluminium, chloramine, copper, zinc
Bone Disease	Aluminium, fluoride
Haemolysis	Copper, nitrates, chloramine
Hypertension	Calcium, sodium
Hypotension	Bacteria, endotoxin, nitrates
Metabolic acidosis Low pH,	sulfates
Muscle weakness	Calcium, magnesium
Neurological deterioration	Aluminium
Nausea and vomiting	Bacteria, calcium, copper, endotoxin, low pH, magnesium, nitrates, sulfates, zinc
Death	Aluminium, fluoride, endotoxin, bacteria, chloramine

http://healthfacilityguidelines.com.au/AusHFG_Documents/Guidelines/Dialysis%20Water%20Pre-Treatment%20for%20In-Centre%20and%20Satellite%20Haemodialysis%20Units%20in%20NSW,%20A%20Set%20of%20Guidelines.pdf

Aboriginals have even worse effects from fluorides than caucasians including the kidneys:-

NOTE: This article makes no reference to fluorides but does show Aboriginals are at high risk for renal disease!

Kidney Int. 2006 Jul;70(1):104-10. Epub 2006 May 24.

Reduced nephron number and glomerulomegaly in Australian Aborigines: a group at high risk for renal disease and hypertension. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16723986>

+

Silicofluorides (Water Fluoridation Chemicals/hazardous waste pollutants)

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/silicofluorides-water-fluoridation-chemicals/>

Aboriginal Prison Rates - Violence and Crime - Kidney Disease - Silicofluorides (Water Fluoridation Chemicals) <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/aboriginal-prison-rates-violence-crime-silicofluorides-water-fluoridation-chemicals2.pdf>

+

FluorideKidneyAorta

Toxicology. 2014 Apr 6;318:40-50. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2014.01.012. Epub 2014 Feb 18.

Effect of water fluoridation on the development of medial vascular calcification in uremic rats.

Martín-Pardillos A1, Sosa C2, Millán Á3, Sorribas V4.

Abstract

Public water fluoridation is a common policy for improving dental health. Fluoride replaces the hydroxyls of hydroxyapatite, thereby improving the strength of tooth enamel, but this process can also occur in other active calcifications. This paper studies the effects of water fluoridation during the course of vascular calcification in renal disease. The effect of fluoride was studied in vitro and in vivo. Rat aortic smooth muscle cells were calcified with 2mM Pi for 5 days. Fluoride concentrations of 5-10 μ M--similar to those found in people who drink fluoridated water--partially prevented calcification, death, and osteogene expression in vitro. The anticalcifying mechanism was independent of cell activity, matrix Gla protein, and fetuin A expressions, and it exhibited an IC50 of 8.7 μ M fluoride. In vivo, however, fluoridation of drinking water at 1.5mg/L (concentration recommended by the WHO) and 15 mg/L dramatically increased the incipient aortic calcification observed in rats with experimental chronic kidney disease (CKD, 5/6-nephrectomy), fed a Pi-rich fodder (1.2% Pi). Fluoride further declined the remaining renal function of the CKD animals, an effect that most likely overwhelmed the positive effect of fluoride on calcification in vitro. Ultrastructural analysis revealed that fluoride did not modify the Ca/P atomic ratio, but it was incorporated into the lattice of in vivo deposits. Fluoride also converted the crystallization pattern from plate to rode-like structures. In conclusion, while fluoride prevents calcification in vitro, the WHO's recommended concentrations in drinking water become nephrotoxic to CKD rats, thereby aggravating renal disease and making media vascular calcification significant.

+

Extract from the US Patent Office received from Darryl Turner, Independent Researcher, South Australia

Here is some additional information that is very damning against water fluoridation.

As we all know:

"Fluorine-containing gases are produced as a by-product in the manufacturing of fertilizer, phosphoric acid, phosphates and other phosphorous-containing materials from minerals such as fluorapatite and phosphate rock.

When such minerals are reacted with an acid, such as phosphoric acid, sulfuric acid, nitric acid, or hydrochloric acid, which treatment is common in preparing useful materials from these minerals, silicon tetrafluoride is liberated....

The liberated silicon tetrafluoride is usually recovered by absorption in water.

The silicon tetrafluoride reacts with water to form fluosilicic acid (H_2SiF_6).

The acid is generally an undesirable by-product having little economic value.

The fluorine-containing off gases from the manufacture of various products from phosphatic starting materials are recovered usually for the sole purpose of preventing their escape to the atmosphere.

The fluorine-containing off gases results in serious atmospheric pollution problems, since the gases are both corrosive and toxic.

It is therefore desirable that a worthwhile use be found for these waste materials...."

United States Patent Office
3,271,107

Patented Sept, 6, 1966

<http://www.google.com.au/patents?hl=en&lr=&vid=USPAT3271107&id=WOBfAAAAEBAJ&oi=fnd&dq=Utilization+of+waste+fluosilicic+acid&printsec=abstract#v=onepage&q=Utilization%20of%20waste%20fluosilicic%20acid&f=true>

WHY EPA HEADQUARTERS UNION OF SCIENTISTS OPPOSES FLUORIDATION

Thus, we took the stand that a policy which makes the public water supply a vehicle for disseminating this toxic and prophylactically useless (via ingestion, at any rate) substance is wrong. The implication for the general public of these calculations is clear. Recent, peer-reviewed toxicity data, when applied to EPA's standard method for controlling risks from toxic chemicals, require an immediate halt to the use of the nation's drinking water reservoirs as disposal sites for the toxic waste of the phosphate fertilizer industry²⁴.

<http://www.nteu280.org/Issues/Fluoride/NTEU280-Fluoride.htm>

To quote Dr. Phillip R.N. Sutton, Formerly Academic Associate and Senior Research Fellow, Department Oral Medicine and Surgery, Dental School, University of Melbourne, Author of *The Greatest Fraud: Fluoridation* (1996):

"We are all affected by this potentially dangerous fraud: The convincing of Governments and people generally that it is ethical, safe and beneficial to medicate, compulsorily, many millions of people throughout their lives with small but uncontrollable doses of a cumulative and very toxic substance because of the notion that it reduces the prevalence of dental decay. All this, although neither its safety nor any scientifically-proved reduction in the number of decayed teeth has been demonstrated."

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/fia-report-archives/>

Fluoride and your Thyroid - a dangerous connection

<http://>

www.stophethyroidmadness.com/fluoride-and-your-thyroid/

Fluoride Class Action <http://fluoride-class-action.com/what-is-in-it>

"Physiologic Conditions Affect Toxicity of Ingested Industrial Fluoride," *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, vol. 2013, Article ID 439490, 13 pages, 2013. Richard Sauerheber, doi: 10.1155/2013/439490. Available online at: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jep/2013/439490/>

Health Impact of Water Fluoridation

http://www.mediafire.com/view/j1ivjqx8osocc8z/health_impact_from_water_fluoridation.pdf

FOLLOW THE MONEY

Hiding the truth from the people is a disgrace that has continued by all Political Parties (and some just remain completely disinterested) for decades and this must be stopped - water fluoridation is not safe and not effective, it is toxic, neurotoxic and carcinogenic hazardous waste pollutants hexafluorosilicic acid and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium, etc., and also added to that hazardous waste soup is aluminium sulphate. It is absolute insanity and the power of the people must demand all water fluoridation cease and desist for the sake of us all and our environment.

All Political Parties continue to lie about this pollution as they all have continued to do (others just remain completely disinterested) - no more excuses, no more cover ups, Dentists and Doctors and their interests and political and media power and control must be stopped from calling all the shots on 'water fluoridation/pollution with hazardous waste) - in Queensland commencing Dec. 2008 (except for Townsville already polluted with S5 poison Sodium fluoride in 1965)) they also chronically poisoned our population, pets, all life, and our environment with S6/S7 Corrosive 8 hazardous waste pollutants they call 'water fluoridation' - they and all parties have allowed this to continue in Australia first commencing in 1953 in Beaconsfield, Tasmania and continuing all through Australia - these Morons in all Governments have allowed this chronic poisoning/pollution to continue - demand Governments in Australia cease and desist all water fluoridation immediately and irrevocably for all time.

These morons (no other word for it) have got Queensland into a \$10.5 BILLION DOLLAR WATER GRID DEBT whilst we the people pay for it with our fast emptying wallets and whilst our long term health is under serious threat from consuming these hazardous waste pollutants in our water supplies, all through the food chain, all through us, our children, our environment and all life. It is absolute insanity. I had a Labor candidate for our area a little while back have his assistance ring as many of the residents as possible asking them to let him know if they have any concerns about asset sales, dental, health etc.. I said Hell Yes and I gave his canvassing person a lot of info, she was very concerned and said she will get him to ring me, of course you know the ending, he never bothered, this is the most serious health matter that has plagued Australians' health from when they first polluted Australia in 1953 in Beaconsfield, Tasmania, btw they have the worst dental health and are chronically disease and the rest of Australia is catching up with those disgraceful statistic, we are chronically diseases and in dental crisis - it is appalling that 90% of Australia polluted with his hazardous waste they called fluoride.

I guarantee we would all be 'staggered and flattened' if a Forensic Analysis was performed on all water fluoridation Australia wide since 1953 - we are staggered just to see some of the figures from Queensland's dumping/disposal of this hazardous waste costs they call 'water fluoridation'.

No wonder Queensland has a water grid bill of \$10.5 BILLION and the people are 'paying with their blood' for the cost of water and the cost of the harm to their long term health and safety and we are in dental crisis in Australia ! after these decades of water fluoridation/pollution.

Stop the Fluoridation Fraud/Web of Deception now and demand all water fluoridation Australia wide cease and desist immediately and irrevocably for all time.

for them when science and government statistics prove otherwise. However, we have something they can never buy – the truth - which is why we are winning the fluoridation wars.

In a left-handed compliment to all of us, the ADA says “anti-fluoridation groups are very media savvy.”

Like corporations that market health-robbing sugary drinks and cereal to children, the ADA plans to sell fluoridation to the American public with “marketing and advertising via Facebook, YouTube and other social media platforms and optimizing search engines to help ensure that ADA information is prominent in Internet searches.”

This tactic isn’t new. In a 1951 State Dental Directors’ meeting, sound-bites, excuses and whitewashes were suggested to initiate fluoridation. “Voices of opposition have been suppressed since early days of fluoridation,” according to Chemical & Engineering News, 1988).

According to the Center for Responsive Politics, the ADA’s monetary involvement with the U.S. Congress in 2014 included:

- Contributions to candidates: \$1,187,100
- Contributions to Leadership PACs: \$363,500
- Contributions to parties: \$120,250
- Contributions to 527 committees: \$505,418
- Contributions to outside spending groups: \$317,000

Find out how much money your Congressional representatives received in 2014.

Fluoridation continues to be sold to the American public with slick PR, appeal to authority, spokesperson training, strategy-meetings, expensive lobbyists but little, if any, valid science. Even the Oral Health Division of the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) employs a PR Agency to sell you fluoridation. And the Pew Charitable Trusts is funding the Children’s Dental Health Project that has created coalitions of local health groups throughout the country to mobilize for fluoridation.

On the other hand, for example, Portland, Oregon, used science and integrity to defeat fluoridation, 61% to 39% even after being outspent 3-to-1 and despite Portland fluoridationists seemingly attempting to buy votes.

The truth is that, after 7 decades of fluoridation, 6 decades of fluoridated toothpaste, a glut of fluoridated dental products (and in higher concentrations), a fluoride-saturated food supply, tooth decay is a growing epidemic in the US – untreated tooth decay is even worse.

The culprit is poverty and poor diets. And those with the greatest dental needs can’t get their cavities filled. Eighty percent of dentists refuse Medicaid patients. 130 million Americans don’t have dental insurance. Many with insurance can’t afford dentistry’s high out-of-pocket costs. Hospital emergency rooms are their only option. The Journal of American Dental Association reported that 101 people died in hospitals from the consequences of untreated tooth decay. Many, who can afford to, travel to other countries to get affordable quality dentistry.

One solution is to legalize Dental Therapists in the US to work in areas where dentists refuse to go. But organized dentistry lobbies against any group infringing upon dentists’ lucrative monopoly. In fact, the ADA joined the rich and politically influential American Legislative Exchange Council

Many people adore their children and families without thinking the harm that water fluoridation is doing - please share widely to all you know to stop this harmful practice and the combined power of united people is the only way to stop this.

This is so relevant to our health, our animals health, our farm health and our entire environment and all life. 90+% of Australia's water supplies (and hence our food chain) is polluted with these hazardous waste pollutants hexafluorosilicic acid and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, lead, thallium, beryllium, etc., also added to this hazardous waste mix is aluminium sulphate. All Australians must demand all water fluoridation/pollution cease and desist Australia wide for all our sakes please.

EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT WATER FLUORIDATION/POLLUTION IS RIGHT HERE:-

The chronic poisoning of the population, pets, all life and environment via water fluoridation/pollution must be banned urgently and irrevocably for all time.

FOLLOW THE MONEY >

The Fluoridation Fraud 2009

<http://www.fluoridationfacts.com/ausfnews/apriun09/afn0904.pdf>

Wall Street Journal says Dentists Are Big Political Players and do all they can to preserve their monopoly." Huge donations to secure their political agendas.

They boasted the largest single health-care PAC in 2008, gave nearly \$13 million to state and local politicians in 2010, raising the question: What do dentists want? Alicia Mundy has details on The News Hub. Photo: Reuters. <http://live.wsj.com/video/why-dentists-are-big-political-players/4ADDACA4-8F50-43D4-B694-D541A38F3A.html#!4ADDACA4-8F50-43D4-B694-D541A38F3A>

+

Top All-Time Donors, 1989-2012 - Political Donations - list includes ADA & AMA

ADA 48th AMA 24th <http://www.opensecrets.org/orgs/list.php?order=a>

ADA <http://www.opensecrets.org/orgs/summary.php?id=D000000105>

AMA <http://www.opensecrets.org/orgs/summary.php?id=D000000068>

+

Dental Lobby Pour Half-million Dollars into Fluoridation PR Campaign

By Carol Kopf, Media Director for Fluoride Action Network

The American Dental Association (ADA) announced on December 8, 2014, that they will pour \$500,000 into a PR campaign to fool the American public into believing that fluoridation is good

(ALEC) which [bloomberg.com](http://www.bloomberg.com) reports may be to get help in thwarting Dental Therapists because "The dentists, no surprise, are decidedly against outsourcing their work to non-dentists."

Some evidence that fluoridation has failed:

Florida is 78% fluoridated. In 2012, more than 139,000 (6.4% increase from 2011) were treated in hospital emergency departments (ER) for preventable dental conditions. Spending averaged \$141 million (22% increase from 2011). Only 8% of Medicaid Children got dental treatment. Only 10% of Florida dentists participate in Medicaid. See: <http://www.nnoha.org/nnoha-content/uploads/2014/08/Jaana-Gold-presentation.pdf>

Collier County, Florida, is 80% fluoridated but cavity rates among third-graders "were among the highest levels documented in the United States," according to University of Florida researchers' presentation at the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry's May 2014 annual meeting. See: <http://www.eventscribe.com/2014/posters/aapd/SplitViewer.asp?PID=NTc0NTEyOTYx:#>

Nationally, 830,590 Americans were treated in ERs for preventable dental problems in 2009. Fifty-two percent of US children enrolled in Medicaid did not receive dental care in 2011. Over 45 million Americans live in areas with a dentist shortage. Fifty-two countries use Dental Therapists and other midlevel professionals to expand care to more people. The first US Dental Therapists are working in rural Alaska where residents used to pull their own teeth. The ADA spent a million dollars on an unsuccessful lawsuit trying to stop them.

More evidence that fluoridation is failing: <http://www.FluorideNews.Blogspot.com>

How does the ADA have so much money? This article gives a clue: "[Fluoridation: Follow the Money.](#)"

+

Dentists have Controlled CDC's Water Fluoridation Stance for over 35 Years...

Documents released under the Freedom of Information Act show that since the 1970's, the dental health professionals in the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) have completely controlled the agency's stance supporting water fluoridation. No CDC toxicologists, minority health professionals, experts in diabetes, or others outside the Oral Health Division had any input into the agency's position.

The documents have drawn attention once again to the CDC's and EPA's fluoride safety statements, which appear at odds with current scientific knowledge.

According to the Fluoride Action Network: "Law firms are now reviewing old and new documents believed to highlight a pattern of attempts to curtail discussions on fluoride toxicity and downplay the importance of professionals personally reviewing scientific reports about fluorides."

<http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2011/07/23/hidden-documents-reveal-cdc-fluoride-support-controlled-by-dentists.aspx>

+

FLUORIDATION - FOLLOW THE MONEY on FIA website >

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/corruption-conflicts-of-interest/>

+

A reminder of Ballina Council/Rous Water General Manager's threats.....

In April 2010, just before Rous councillors voted on fluoridation, Rous Water general manager Kyme Lavelle controversially tabled legal advice warning that councillors would be personally liable for fines until fluoridation was approved.

The vote as a result dramatically swung from against fluoridation, to supporting it.
<http://www.echo.net.au/2013/10/councillor-wants-to-bankrupt-anti-fluoride-activist/>

+

Corporate 'sponsorship' etc., of our Dental Schools in Universities is (allegedly) like leaving the wolves to mind the lambs. Allegedly, this is a most serious conflicts of interests and complete loss of integrity and ethics.

** The Australian Research Centre for Population Oral Health, School of Dentistry, The University of Adelaide. S.A. <http://www.arcpoh.adelaide.edu.au/>

** Same School of Dentistry, The University of Adelaide, S.A. **Colgate** Australian Clinical Dental Research Centre (CACDRC) <http://health.adelaide.edu.au/dentistry/colgate/>

+

The Public's right to know the extent of the Fluoridation Fraud/Web of Deception:-

UNDER FOI - this is what they did to fluoridate/pollute Queensland

extract:

The ADA asked for \$200,000 and received \$220,000 from Bligh's Health Minister, Stephen Robertson to promote 'fluoridation' and their colleagues at the AMA sent a letter to Dr. Jeannette young, Chief Health Officer Queensland Health extract from FOI :-

"The AMA believes the 'strategic approach' referred to in your letter must be for Government to mandate water fluoridation throughout the State. The approach to encourage individual councils to adopt fluoridation of their own volition has failed."

end extract

Some view this allegedly, as tantamount to 'conspiring' to 'mandate' 'water fluoridation' and the Australian Government must take no other action but to ban 'water fluoridation/pollution' urgently, irrevocably and for all time.

These letters from ADA and AMA form part of this Report and can be accessed on the link hereunder - referred to page 88/89.

Download Full Report > <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/report-water-fluoridation-pollution-must-end-diane-drayton-buckland-independent-researcher-14th-january-2013.pdf>

~

AUSTRALIAN DENTAL ASSOCIATION INC. POLICY STATEMENT
COMMUNITY ORAL HEALTH PROMOTION FLUORIDE USE

Extract: 2 Policy

. 2.1 Water Fluoridation The Australian Dental Association recommends :

2.1.5 That Governments must adopt water fluoridation as part of Health Policy and actively promote its introduction, where it is feasible, as a public health measure.

Full document: ADA: "Governments **MUST** adopt water fluoridation as part of Health Policy and actively promote its introduction, where it is feasible, as a public health measure."

<http://adaftp.com.au/POLICY/POLICYJune2012/Policy%20Statement%202.2.1%20Fluoride%20Use.pdf>

WHY DO THE ADA (& AMA) HAVE THE POLITICAL POWER AND CONTROL TO CHRONICALLY POISON A NATION?? With decade\$ of water fluoridation/pollution and we are in dental crisis, why do you think the ADA & their associate\$/interest\$ continue with this fluoridation fraud/web of deception? Follow the Money !

+

The National Health Medical Research Council NHMRC DO NOT DO ORIGINAL RESEARCH INTO FLUORIDATION - The Fluoridation Fraud

"No original research has been carried out by any of the bodies named." (Commission of Public Health; Australian Medical Association; Australian Dental Association; National Health Medical Research Council; World Health Organization). Hansard:

Victoria Parliament. Questions on Notice: 20 November, 1973.

http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/2009/AFN_2009N2_AprJun_AquaPura.pdf

Australian Fluoridation News <http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/>

+

NHMRC Australian Government removed Toothpaste S5 poison labels !

Fluoride toothpaste was registered as a S5 poison

Loose Government Responsibility

The looseness in Regulatory Responsible Government Organisations was illustrated in 1998 when the National Drug and Poisons Committee asked for submissions as to whether Australia should follow all overseas countries who are by law forcing fluoride toothpaste manufacturers to inscribe on the package and tube the following warning similar to the U.S.A. law.

"The U.S. Food and Drug Administration requires fluoride toothpaste to carry this health notice: 'Warning: Keep out of reach of children under six years of age. If you accidentally swallow more than used for brushing, seek professional assistance or contact a Poison Control Centre immediately'."

Our submission was dismissed by the National Drug and Poison Committee stating that fluoride toothpaste manufacturers can handle this matter themselves!!!! Consider the scientific standards of Australia's senior Health Organisations.

Fluoride toothpaste was registered as a S5 poison

The N.H.M.R.C. in their book "The Effectiveness of Water Fluoridation" p.131., explained the need to examine poison regulations concerning fluoride toothpaste which was registered as an ' S5 POISON' as printed on the package.

The Answer by N.H.M.R.C.

Fluoride Toothpaste an S5 poison was reclassified as "Cosmetic for sales regulations".

Here we have the Australian Government changing an S5 POISON into a 'safe benign product' by altering its scientific health category into a cosmetic by reclassification! S5 Poison labels on fluoride toothpaste removed to help commercial sales.

All S5 POISON LABELS on fluoride toothpaste were removed, not for your health reason, no, it was to help commercial SALES that superceded the health and rightful Government protection of Australian people. http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/2005/scientific_foibles_of_fluoride.htm

Fluoride toothpaste is S5 poison (which Australian Government and their interests deviously dealt with as above). Hexafluorosilicic acid and co-contaminants used in 'water fluoridation' chemicals is S6/S7 Corrosive 8 hazardous waste pollutants - absolutely criminal to put this in the population's water supply and hence contaminating our food chain, us and our environment.

Another Fluoridation Whopper NHMRC Working Group Report, November, 1990
http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/Pre2000/AFN_1991N1_JanFeb_AquaPura.pdf

A Review and Evaluation of Fluoridated Toothpaste
http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/Pre2000/AFN_1990N6_NovDec_AquaPura.pdf

An Australian Example of Fluoridation Dictatorship
http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/2011/AFN_2011N1_JanMar_AquaPura.pdf#page=5

Fluoridation's Destiny of Deceit by Glen S.R. Walker
Medical Recklessness- sponsoring and endorsing fluoridation before the first official fluoridation experiment in the world.
http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/2006/AFN_2006N6_NovDec_AquaPura.pdf

Australian Fluoridation News
http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/australian_fluoridation_newsletters_home.htm

This truly says it all from 1982:-

1982 - Former NIH (National Inst. of Health) scientist opposed to fluoride

It is a scientific disgrace that a well organized lobby of the American Dental Association ever managed to stampede American legislators into ignoring the highly technical but very cogent objection to fluoridation.

Source: <http://www.nofluoride.com/eight.cfm>

Revealing How Dentists Profit By Abusing Children - In 'Drilling for Dollars,' a local TV reporter presented shocking visual and audio testimony about a situation in which children were being needlessly treated and harmed because of corporate greed. 2009 <http://www.nieman.harvard.edu/reports/article/100963/Revealing-How-Dentists-Profit-By-Abusing-Children.aspx>

The study also found that fluoride does not eliminate tooth decay, citing dietary sugars as the primary cause. **People living in areas with fluoridated water and/or using fluoride toothpaste still got dental caries.** http://www.irishdentist.ie/news/news_detail.php?id=5436

Fluoridation fails as America's cavity crisis escalates <http://www.news-medical.net/news/20140125/Fluoridation-fails-as-Americas-cavity-crisis-escalates.aspx>

Fluoridation Fails - alarming rise in dental hospitalizations U.S. 10th September, 2013
Despite decades of water fluoridation and fluoridated toothpaste that was supposed to all but eradicate tooth decay and put dentists out of business, the opposite is true. From 2000 to 2008, more than 61,000 people were hospitalized in the U.S. for a dental abscess, a 41% increase. The burden on Medicaid to pay for discharges related to periapical abscesses has jumped 74%. Sixty-six patients died while in the hospital. <http://www.topix.com/business/dental/2013/09/1309098IGFUV>
Full story: www.drbitcuspid.com

The Fluoridation Fraud - Australia polluted with water fluoridation first beginning in 1953 in Beaconsfield, Tasmania - currently 90+% Australia polluted with water fluoridation.
Australian children have terrible teeth, researchers say and oral health is decaying 14 April, 2011
<http://www.adelaidenow.com.au/news/national/aussie-children-have-terrible-teeth/story-e6frea8c-1226039127551?nk=f79d70ed800d71d23801a24be400ca43>

TAFE College 'rubber stamping' hygiene students 19 June, 2014

Thousands of customers of dental clinics and tattoo parlours across Australia have been put at risk of infectious disease by teachers at a NSW TAFE who have passed sterilisation course students without properly assessing their knowledge.

Senior staff at the college contacted 9 Stories after media reports in January that a Sydney dentist practice put 1000 patients at risk of infectious diseases including Hepatitis B, C and HIV.
<http://news.ninemsn.com.au/national/2014/06/19/14/48/oten-tafe-not-assessing-health-sterilisation-students>

+

**The continuing fraud of water fluoridation/pollution is obscene:
Fluoridation & The Web Of Deceit - Conflicts of Interests**

The Girl Against Fluoride Independent Researcher and Activist - Ireland –

Professor Denis O Mullane is Vice Chairperson of the Executive Committee of the Irish Expert Body of fluorides & health. Although he calls himself "an independent objective research worker in the field of Dental Public Health", this man has promoted water fluoridation around the world for many years. He has pushed water fluoridation in South Africa along with Seamus Hickey. Despite Mr Mullane's pro fluoride bias, in 2002 Michael Martin gave him a grant estimated at a million to investigate the benefits and risks of water fluoridation.

The British Fluoridation Society includes Denis Mullane in their information leaflets. He is also connected with the British Nutrition Foundation - this was set up in the 1960's by sugar & pharmaceutical companies such as Tate and Lyle ltd, Cadburys, Proctor & Gamble. Denis Mullane's uncle was chief chemist of Irish Sugar Company.

Mr Mullane is part of a group rewriting a document on "Fluorides and Oral Health", for the WHO. This is one of the men who continues to say that water fluoridation is safe & yet the chemicals used in water fluoridation in Ireland were banned for their use as a wood preservative.

+

THE ARROGANCE OF FLUORIDATION

http://fluoridealert.org/content/bulletin_05-05-14/

AN AUSTRALIAN EXAMPLE OF FLUORIDATION DICTATORSHIP

World-feted doctor recklessly promoted fluoridation but refused to produce any evidence of his claimed research into fluoridation.

Following that statement it gave a list of those who support fluoridation and obviously support Dr William McBride.

The National Health and Medical Research Council

The Australian Medical Association

The Australian Dental Association

The World Health Organization

The Health Commission of New South Wales

The New South Wales State Cancer Council

The Australian Federation of Consumer Organisations

The Federal Director of Health (Dr Howells)

The American Dental and Medical Associations

and many other authoritative bodies.

So here we have a list of those promoting Dr McBride as Australia's fluoridation medical expert, but where is the scientific evidence, the truth of work done on fluorides at Foundation 41, the "absolute science" accepted and

supported by all Australian Governments, Health Departments, AMA, ADA and Sydney University Dental Health Education and Research Foundation.

Dr McBride has been found guilty of scientific fraud.

Go to > http://50.87.144.20/~fluoaafa/vicnet/2011/AFN_2011N1_JanMar_AquaPura.pdf#page=5

REPORT: Brief Overview of Water Fluoridation/Pollution - 11 September, 2013 Diane Drayton Buckland

http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/brief-overview-of-water-fluoridation_pollution-11-september-2013-diane-drayton-buckland1.pdf

REPORT: **Can Dentists & Doctors be trusted** when they say Water Fluoridation is Safe & Effective?

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/10/can-dentists-doctors-be-trusted-when-they-say-water-fluoridation-is-safe-effective.pdf>

REPORT: 100% fluoridated Kentucky, USA - Rampant Dental Decay & chronic disease epidemic - DDB

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/rampant-dental-decay-chronic-disease-epidemic-in-100-fluoridated-kentucky-usa-13-aug-2013-ddb.pdf>

REPORT: Download Full Report >

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/report-water-fluoridation-pollution-must-end-diane-drayton-buckland-independent-researcher-14th-january-2013.pdf>

Website: <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/fia-report-archives/>

Health Canada No safety studies - they also push this chronic poisoning on their population >

<http://canadianawareness.org/2014/12/information-request-shows-health-canada-has-no-safety-studies-on-chemical-used-as-fluoride/>

Masters/EPA Letter No Safety Data > <https://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/not-safe-not-effective/>

SEE FLUORIDE ACTION NETWORK www.fluoridealert.org

HEALTH EFFECTS DATABASE http://fluoridealert.org/researchers/health_database/

Fluoride and your Thyroid - a dangerous connection

<http://www.stopthethyroidmadness.com/fluoride-and-your-thyroid/>

The study also found that fluoride does not eliminate tooth decay, citing dietary sugars as the primary cause. People living in areas with fluoridated water and/or using fluoride toothpaste still got dental caries. http://www.irishdentist.ie/news/news_detail.php?id=5436

Fluoridation fails as America's cavity crisis escalates <http://www.news-medical.net/news/20140125/Fluoridation-fails-as-Americas-cavity-crisis-escalates.aspx>

Fluoridation Fails - alarming rise in dental hospitalizations U.S. 10th September, 2013
Despite decades of water fluoridation and fluoridated toothpaste that was supposed to all but eradicate tooth decay and put dentists out of business, the opposite is true. From 2000 to 2008, more than 61,000 people were hospitalized in the U.S. for a dental abscess, a 41% increase. The burden on Medicaid to pay for discharges related to periapical abscesses has jumped 74%. Sixty-six patients died while in the hospital. <http://www.topix.com/business/dental/2013/09/1309098IGFUV>
Full story: www.drbcuspids.com

Tasmanians suffer chronic diseases and have the worst dental health in the nation - first fluoridation/polluted in Beaconsfield Tasmania 1953 and ongoing throughout Tasmania widespread water fluoridation/pollution and widespread throughout Australia.

Tasmania Primary Health Indicators Tasmania Report 1 April, 2012

<http://www.tasmedicarelocal.com.au/programs-services/chronic-conditions>

<http://www.tasmedicarelocal.com.au/sites/default/files/PHIT-Vol-5-Issue-1-Apr-2012.pdf>

Dental crisis Tasmania <http://www.abc.net.au/stateline/tas/content/2005/s1425933.htm>

Tasmanians worst dental health in Australia <http://www.fluorideaustralia.org/articleView.asp?Article=19> + <http://www.examiner.com.au/story/482180/feds-put-the-bite-on-dental-health-in-tasmania/>

Epidemic - dental crisis http://www.anglicare-tas.org.au/docs/research/Access_to_dental_care_for_low_income_Tasmanians_-_a_discussion_paper.pdf

Beaconsfield, Tasmania - first 'fluoridated' in 1953 - 27th February, 2013 - **Tasmania will receive an extra \$12 million from Federal Government to reduce public dental waiting lists.** <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2013-02-27/extra-dental-dollars-to-reduce-waiting-lists/4542450?section=tas>

The study also found that fluoride does not eliminate tooth decay, citing dietary sugars as the primary cause. People living in areas with fluoridated water and/or using fluoride toothpaste still got dental caries. http://www.irishdentist.ie/news/news_detail.php?id=5436

After decades of water fluoridation first commencing in Beaconsfield Tasmania in 1953:

POOR ORAL HEALTH PUTTING HOSPITALS UNDER PRESSURE – TASMANIA -26 Feb, 2012

<http://www.examiner.com.au/story/431159/poor-oral-health-putting-hospitals-under-pressure/>

The Fluoridation Fraud - Australia polluted with water fluoridation first beginning in 1953 in Beaconsfield, Tasmania - currently 90+% Australia polluted with water fluoridation.

Australian children have terrible teeth, researchers say and oral health is decaying 14 April, 2011

<http://www.adelaidenow.com.au/news/national/aussie-children-have-terrible-teeth/story-e6frea8c-1226039127551?nk=f79d70ed800d71d23801a24be400ca43>

**After decades of water fluoridation first commencing in Beaconsfield Tasmania in 1953:-
DENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM IN DECAY**

Dr Deborah Cole is chief executive officer of Dental Health Services Victoria. <http://www.theage.com.au/opinion/a-system-in-decay-20120107-1ppd0.html>

After decades of water fluoridation first commencing in Beaconsfield Tasmania in 1953: REPORT WARNS \$10B NEEDED TO FIX DENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM February 28, 2012
<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-02-28/national-dental-health-opens-denticare-debate/3856134>
Australia wide in dental crisis after decades of widespread water fluoridation/pollution in every State. Dumping hazardous waste pollutants is not the answer to dental health.
All water fluoridation/pollution must be ended urgently and irrevocably for all time.

Geelong - fluoridated/polluted June, 2009 - GEELONG kids will have more to smile about thanks to a multi-million-dollar State Government plan to tackle tooth decay and gum disease. Health Minister launched the \$14.9 million public dental plan, aimed at improving the oral health of young people across the state. http://www.geelongadvertiser.com.au/article/2013/05/29/366034_news_pf.html

After decades of water fluoridation first commencing in Beaconsfield Tasmania in 1953: Widespread water fluoridation/pollution Australia wide - an Abysmal Failure - Not Effective and not safe:- Millions to get free care under \$4 Billion dental reform package - 29 August, 2012
<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-08-29/plibersek-unveils-4b-dental-reform-package/4230196>

NSW 96% 'FLUORIDATED' - Sydney since 1968 DENTAL CRISIS EXPOSES GREAT DIVIDE February 15, 2005
Public dental health in NSW is in a state of serious neglect, with some patients waiting 8 years for attention & the number of children needing hospital treatment doubling over the past decade.
<http://www.smh.com.au/news/Health/Dental-crisis-exposes-great-divide/2005/02/14/1108229934499.html>

50% of Children have tooth decay in Australia yet, Australia is almost fully fluoridated. How about we stop consuming sugar in everything, reduce dental costs and educate children/people to daily oral healthcare instead of dumping industrial waste into water supplies and hence also contaminating our food chain.
<http://www.cosmeticdentistryguide.co.uk/news/more-than-50-of-children-have-tooth-decay-in-australia-9526>

The Fluoridation Fraud - Australia polluted with water fluoridation first beginning in 1953 in Beaconsfield, Tasmania - currently 90+% Australia polluted with water fluoridation.
Australian children have terrible teeth, researchers say and oral health is decaying 14 April, 2011
<http://www.adelaidenow.com.au/news/national/aussie-children-have-terrible-teeth/story-e6frea8c-1226039127551?nk=f79d70ed800d71d23801a24be400ca43>

Some information: Not Safe and Not Effective <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/not-safe-not-effective/>

Dentists have Controlled CDC's Water Fluoridation Stance for over 35 Years...
Documents released under the Freedom of Information Act show that since the 1970's, the dental health professionals in the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) have completely controlled the agency's stance supporting water fluoridation. No CDC toxicologists, minority health

professionals, experts in diabetes, or others outside the Oral Health Division had any input into the agency's position.

The documents have drawn attention once again to the CDC's and EPA's fluoride safety statements, which appear at odds with current scientific knowledge.

According to the Fluoride Action Network: "Law firms are now reviewing old and new documents believed to highlight a pattern of attempts to curtail discussions on fluoride toxicity and downplay the importance of professionals personally reviewing scientific reports about fluorides."

<http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2011/07/23/hidden-documents-reveal-cdc-fluoride-support-controlled-by-dentists.aspx>

REPORT: Brief Overview of Water Fluoridation/Pollution - 11 September, 2013 Diane Drayton Buckland

http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/brief-overview-of-water-fluoridation_pollution-11-september-2013-diane-drayton-buckland1.pdf

REPORT: 100% fluoridated Kentucky, USA - Rampant Dental Decay & chronic disease epidemic - DDB <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/rampant-dental-decay-chronic-disease-epidemic-in-100-fluoridated-kentucky-usa-13-aug-2013-ddb.pdf>

REPORT: **Can Dentists & Doctors be trusted** when they say Water Fluoridation is Safe & Effective?

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/10/can-dentists-doctors-be-trusted-when-they-say-water-fluoridation-is-safe-effective.pdf>

REPORT: Download Full Report >

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/report-water-fluoridation-pollution-must-end-diane-drayton-buckland-independent-researcher-14th-january-2013.pdf>

FIA Website: <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/fia-report-archives/>

CANCER AND FLUORIDE/WATER FLUORIDATION/HAZARDOUS WASTE POLLUTANTS:

Not once in all the decades of 'cancer awareness' have I ever heard anyone; a celebrity or anyone else say 'hold on a moment, I want to know why this is happening to so many people, so many children, so many of our pets, I want answers, doesn't anyone even think that 'it has to be environmentally caused'. Please continue reading - and I say to you all, that the Government of Australia must be told by the people, to cease and desist all Water fluoridation in Australia, (ie the dumping/disposal of hazardous waste from the phosphate fertilizer industries; namely fluorosilicic acid and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, beryllium, thallium, etc., and added to that hazardous waste soup also is aluminium sulphate) which was first inflicted by force in Beaconsfield, Tasmania in 1953 and now polluting more than 90% of Australia's drinking water supplies (by force/mandate) hence polluting our food chain, us, all life and our environment - now that is insane and must be stopped.

Australian Cancer Councils say:

Cancer Council NSW says: Fluoride in tap water does not cause cancer <http://www.cancercouncil.com.au/50359/what-really-causes-cancer/cancer-questions-and-facts/>

So too WA <https://www.cancerwa.asn.au/resources/cancermyths/fluoride-cancer-myth/>

Cancer Council of Australia - they say Unlikely risk - marked body of evidence indicating lack of risk <http://www.cancer.org.au/about-cancer/causes-of-cancer/environmental-causes/unlikely-risk.html>

A "tidal wave" of cancer will see the number of cases increase by 70 percent across the globe, World Health Organisation scientists warn.

He said prevention was crucial "in combating the tidal wave of cancer which we see coming across the world".

<http://news.ninensn.com.au/health/2014/02/05/05/57/world-facing-cancer-tidal-wave-who-warns>

What We say:

Immediately and irrevocably ban all water fluoridation - these hazardous waste pollutants hexafluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium etc., (and added also to this hazardous waste soup is aluminium sulphate.) It is in our drinking water supplies and hence all through the food chain; in everything we eat and drink (if it is grown, produced, manufactured using fluoridated water and we also have to bathe our babies, pets and ourselves in it. It is absolute madness and should be a criminal act !

Cancer Prevention Coalition, University of Illinois at Chicago, School of Public Health

2121 W. Taylor St., MC 922, Chicago, IL 60612

The relationship between fluoridation of drinking water, with industrial fluorosilicate wastes (contaminated with carcinogenic heavy metals), and bone cancer in young men; fluoride is added to the water supply of about 60% of the U.S. population, in contrast to only 2% of the European population, which has much lower rates of dental caries. (change USA now over 70%)

http://www.preventcancer.com/losing/nci/nci_epidemiological.htm

Samuel S. Epstein. MD, Founder of the Coalition, is an expert on the causes and prevention of cancer. <http://www.preventcancer.com/about/epstein.htm> <http://www.preventcancer.com/about/>

STOP FLUORIDE DISEASES BY ROBERT C. OLNEY, M.D.
REMOVE FLUORIDES FROM FOOD, WATER, AIR AND DRUGS

Extract: Fluorine is known as a powerful enzyme inhibitor and a poison, thus gradually destroying enzymatic function. This is the same process by which all vital organs are slowly and seriously damaged. The more vital the organ, the more serious the damage. Fluorine is such a powerful, destructive force that it takes only a minute amount to do great damage. This damage is then permanent to the person, and becomes gradually worse due to the accumulation of fluorine in the enzymes. Fluorine appears to be the cause of many mental and physical defects in the newborn.

From the "Archives of Environmental Health" (published by A.M.A.), February 1961, there is an interesting article compiled from authorities all over the world showing the amount of fluorides in diseased tissues. This shows that in some diseased tissues, such as aorta, tumor, cataract, etc., there are fluorides from 39 to 158 PPM compared with adjoining tissues having from 0.0 to 6.9 PPM. This is evidence that fluorine is an important factor in the cause of these diseases.

Doctors Alfred and Nell Taylor, working in the University of Texas and reporting on the effect of fluorine as a cause of cancer, made the following statement: "The terrifying conclusion of the studies was that fluorine greatly induced cancer tumor growth. If doctors and the public can be made aware of this catastrophe, fluoridation shall quickly end. It will some day be recognized as the most lethal and stupid 'Health Program' ever conceived by the mind of man, with doctors and bloodletters not excepted."

Full document: http://www.rethinkingcancer.org/resources/magazine-articles/12_11-12/stop-fluoride-diseases.php

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER – Dr John Yiamouyiannis <http://www.youtube.com/watch?%20NR=1&feature=endscreen&v=SYxLRtudYM4> "Our studies show that cancers of the gastrointestinal tract, kidney, bladder, breast and ovaries are those primarily associated with fluoride intake". John Yiamouyiannis PhD, Cancer Control Journal, Vol. 5, no's 1 + 2, p. 75.

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER - "In point of fact, fluorine causes more human cancer death, and causes it faster, than any other chemical." Dr. Dean Burk, PhD, former chief of cytochemistry at the U.S. National Cancer Institute for 30 years <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqK7Xvflg0>

Fluoridegate - The Film - EPA shredded Evidence of Harm and fired Chief Scientist/Toxicologist in an attempt to silence him <http://www.fluoridegate.org/> also <http://youtu.be/zpw5fGt4UvI>

Dr. William Marcus, Ph.D, Environmental Protection Agency Scientist, Food & Water Journal, Summer 1998"Fluoride is a carcinogen by any standard we use. I believe EPA should act immediately to protect the public, not just on the cancer data, but on the evidence of bone fractures, arthritis, mutagenicity, & other effects."
<http://www.fluoridation.com/quotes.htm#JAMA,%201943,%20Editorial>

FLUORIDE'S LINK TO CANCER - DR. DAVID KENNEDY
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=um4baHtxsR4>
Dr. David Kennedy - Cancer
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hHPUrIz3xkE&feature=youtu.be>

FLUORIDE AND CANCER <http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/cancer/index.aspx>

FLUORIDE HEALTH EFFECTS DATABASE <http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/>

FLUORIDE & CANCER <http://slweb.org/bibliography.html> click on CANCER link <http://slweb.org/bibliography.html#cancer>

IRELAND – FLUORIDE IS CAUSING YOU HIGHER INCIDENCES OF PANCREATIC AND PROSTATE CANCER & MORE CASES OF LEUKAEMIA.

Source: <http://www.oneworldchronicle.com/?p=5402>

Cancer - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hHPUrIz3xkE&feature=youtu.be>

HUMAN TOXICITY, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT & LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF WATER FLUORIDATION here <http://www.enviro.ie/downloads.html>

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER - **"In point of fact, fluorine causes more human cancer death, and causes it faster, than any other chemical."** Dr. Dean Burk, PhD, former chief of cytochemistry at the U.S. National Cancer Institute. Dr. Dean Burk - Former Chief of Cytochemistry at National Cancer Institute for 30 years <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqK7XvfLg0>

Dr. William Marcus, Ph.D, Environmental Protection Agency Scientist, Food & Water Journal, Summer 1998"Fluoride is a carcinogen by any standard we use. I believe EPA should act immediately to protect the public, not just on the cancer data, but on the evidence of bone fractures, arthritis, mutagenicity, & other effects."
<http://www.fluoridation.com/quotes.htm#JAMA.%201943.%20Editorial>

Interview with Dr. J. William Hirzy, Senior Vice President, EPA Headquarters union, July, 2000
Brief Extract: There's other evidence for carcinogenicity besides this bioassay. There's a substantial body of mutagenesis studies, that is studies where a chemical's ability to cause changes in DNA have been proven. Also, fluoride is known to be an enzyme poison in any number of enzyme systems. And repair of damaged DNA by enzymes is an important anti-cancer mechanism that the body has.

If some of these repair enzymes are inhibited by fluoride, it could indicate fluoride's ability to work as what we call a 'promoter' as opposed to a 'primary' carcinogen.

Carcinogenesis is a very interesting and complex subject. There are so called 'complete carcinogens' - chemicals that in fact damage the DNA and then allow an aberrant cell to reproduce wildly and develop a malignant tumor. There are other substances which are called 'promoters' which do things like inhibit repair enzymes and once an incipient cancer forms - if these repair enzymes are not able to go in, sense the aberrant cell or the aberrant strands of DNA, and either repair the DNA or kill the cell - then the tumor is allowed to grow. Those are classified as promoters.

It may well be that fluoride works in both ways as a primary carcinogen and as a promoter.

Q: And it would be a promoter of cancer by damaging or inhibiting the enzymes that can be protective?

Hirzy: That's right.

Q: If these tumors in the rats weren't downgraded by the National Toxicology Program, what would have been the ramifications for fluoridation?

Hirzy: It would have been over. If in fact the classification of Probable Human Carcinogen, which would have flowed from the finding of clear evidence here, it would have required that the EPA set a Maximum Contaminant Level Goal of zero. All carcinogens have a Maximum Contaminant Level Goal of zero. That is, you recall, the health based standard, the one EPA sets saying that at this level we anticipate no adverse health effects in the entire population with an adequate margin of safety. For carcinogens, the policy is no level of exposure is safe, so the MCLG is zero. If it's zero, it means you can't add any of this stuff to any water supply and that's the end of fluoridation.

<http://www.slweb.org/hirzy-interview.html>

+

Letter and References explaining why the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Scientists are opposed to the fluoridation of public water supplies.

See also:

Statement of Dr. J. William Hirzy, National Treasury Employees Union Chapter 280, before The Subcommittee on Wildlife, Fisheries and Drinking Water, United States Senate, June 29, 2000

EPA scientists take action against EPA for failing to protect public health -- Important scientific and technical considerations were ignored when the Recommended Maximum Contaminant Level (RMCL) for fluoride was set (1986 Amicus Brief).

The need for a Code of Ethics at the EPA became critical. Without an enforceable code of ethics with sanctions, the distortion of truth caused by the pressures of politics would continue.

Environmental Protection Agency Union fights back

The above info is from the link below and should be read by all.

Why U.S. Environmental Protection Agency scientists opposes artificial water fluoridation <http://www.fluoridation.com/epa2.htm>

+

FLUORIDE & CANCER <http://slweb.org/bibliography.html> click on CANCER link <http://slweb.org/bibliography.html#cancer>

Fluoride and Cancer

New York -- February 6, 2002 -- Fluoride added to public water supplies may be linked to cancer suggests two new studies. "The likelihood of fluoride acting as a genetic cause of cancer requires consideration", writes Takahashi and colleagues in the July 2001 "Journal of Epidemiology (1) who found that 23 of 36 cancer sites (63.9%) were associated positively with fluoridation status, using World Health Organization data and the U.S. Fluoridation Census.

Some studies, e.g., Hoover (1976) and Knox (1985) claim no credible fluoridation/cancer association exists. However, Takahashi and co-authors found the Hoover/Knox assessments flawed, and explain why in their paper. The authors report that the National Cancer Institute provided epidemiological evidence of a relation between cancer incidence and water fluoridation in 1987. These findings provoked a 1990 National Toxicology Program (NTP) study that determined fluoride could be a cancer-causing agent.

The NTP study "supplied a detailed description of the toxicology of fluoride, not only in terms of osteosarcoma, but also lesions in the oral mucosa, thyroid gland, skin and uterus...(which) prompted us to re-test the hypothesis of an epidemiological association between water fluoridation and cancer incidences...", wrote Takahashi who found fluoridation status positively correlates to cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx, colon, rectum, hepato-biliary & urinary organs and bone cancer in males.

Such a broad spectrum association has never been observed for any particular known carcinogen, but it may be reasonable for fluoride, because of its strong electronegative nature, the authors explain.

After ten years of water fluoridation, children aged 7-18 in Newburgh, N.Y., had more cortical bone defects than the non-fluoridated control city, Kingston. And more osteosarcoma occurred in young males in fluoridated portions of New Jersey. ... these two facts may be connected pathophysiologically, Takahashi reports. Takahashi urges researchers worldwide to further assess fluoride as a genetic cause of cancers...and stop the application of fluoride for prevention of teeth caries (cavities) if this indeed presents as a risk factor for cancer.

In another study, (2) Ramesh and colleagues propose that high fluoride bone content might have been one of the major factors causing osteosarcoma, in the Journal of Environmental Pathology, Toxicology and Oncology, 2001, Vol. 20, Issue 3.

Ramesh, et al, analyzed bone samples of 20 Indian osteosarcoma patients. The very high levels of fluoride accumulation in the bone samples of (2 Indian osteosarcoma patients) in which p53 gene mutation have also been detected suggest that possible adverse effects of fluoride on the DNA of the osteosarcoma patients, Ramesh and colleagues write.

Mutations in the p53 genes are the most commonly observed genetic alterations in human cancer. Ramesh concludes that fluoride probably causes mutations in p53.

"These new findings raise important questions about potential harm from water fluoridation, especially when coupled with the current recognition that ingesting fluoride does not reduce tooth decay but has caused a massive increase in disfiguring dental fluorosis," says lawyer Paul Beeber, President, New York State Coalition Opposed to Fluoridation.

More about fluoride and bone cancer:

<http://www.fluoridealert.org/f-bonecancer.htm>

References:

(1)

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=11512573&dopt=Abstract

(2)

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=11797833&dopt=Abstract

NYS Coalition Opposed to Fluoridation, Inc.

<http://www.orgsites.com/ny/nyscof> nyscof@aol.com

[https://groups.google.com/forum/?fromgroups=#!topicsearchin/fluoridation-news-releases/takahashi\\$20AND\\$20cancer/fluoridation-news-releases/QBnREo_IqXY](https://groups.google.com/forum/?fromgroups=#!topicsearchin/fluoridation-news-releases/takahashi$20AND$20cancer/fluoridation-news-releases/QBnREo_IqXY)

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11512573>

FIREWATER FILM www.firewaterfilm.com

FIA <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/fia-report-archives/>

AFAM <http://afamildura.wordpress.com/basics/>

Health Canada No safety studies - they also push this chronic poisoning on their population > <http://canadianawareness.org/2014/12/information-request-shows-health-canada-has-no-safety-studies-on-chemical-used-as-fluoride/>

Masters/EPA Letter No Safety Data > <https://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/not-safe-not-effective/>

Processed food causes fluorosis: Study Jan. 2, 2015

<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/chennai/Processed-food-causes-fluorosis-Study/articleshow/45723549.cms>

SEE FLUORIDE ACTION NETWORK www.fluoridealert.org

HEALTH EFFECTS DATABASE http://fluoridealert.org/researchers/health_database/

Fluoride and your Thyroid - a dangerous connection

www.stopthethyroidmadness.com/fluoride-and-your-thyroid/

<http://>

Diane Drayton Buckland Unpaid Fluoride Information Australia
fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com

+

The Atomic Fig Leaf: How Military and Industrial Collusion foisted Fluoride on our population by Howard Straus, Editor The Fluoride Deception by Christopher Bryson, Seven Stories Press, new York City, 2004

Once the government could be seen as approving this chemical to "protect" our children's dental health, it became impossible for attorneys to convince juries of the widespread and lethal toxicity of fluorine compounds. A tube of fluoridated toothpaste waved in front of a jury was the "fig leaf" for the industrial devastation on trial. Opposing scientists found their careers terminated, funds cut off, totally discredited by their colleagues. Devastated workers and their families were callously abandoned by their governments and employers. As time progressed, further industrial uses were found for fluoride, including Teflon[R], CFC propellants, GoreTex[R] and other fabrics.

Each required worker and community exposure to fluorine, thus causing more suffering in the name of profit. Bryson documents his statements with long-suppressed studies, interviews with scientists,

executives, physicians, victims and others, letters, memos, publications. Fully one third of the book is endnotes.

THIS IS A POWERFUL INDICTMENT OF THE CALLOUS AND DEADLY COLLUSION BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY, IN TOTAL DISREGARD FOR THE HEALTH AND WELFARE OF THE SURROUNDING POPULATION, WORKERS, CUSTOMERS.

It is well worth a read. By Howard Straus, Editor.

"In point of fact, fluorine causes more human cancer death, and causes it faster, than any other chemical." - Dean Burk, PhD, former chief of cytochemistry at the U.S. National Cancer Institute.

Document sourced here:

<http://www.thefreelibrary.com/The+Atomic+Fig+Leaf%3a+How%20+Military+and+Industrial+Collusion+Foisted+...-a0207705502>

More and more people are becoming concerned with increased violence everywhere, we are deeply concerned about terrorism - subjecting everyone to potent neurotoxins via water supply (and hence food chain is an insane act by Government) - Violence everywhere you look in Australia, train rage, road rage, street rage, trolley rage, street crime and violence, domestic violence, school violence, everywhere is the same. think about this please; and demand all water fluoridation (pollution with neurotoxic hazardous waste) be stopped urgently and forever more:-

EFFECTS OF POTENT NEUROTOXINS KNOWN AS WATER FLUORIDATION CHEMICALS

Editorial neurotoxic effects of fluoride 44(3)117-124 July-September 2011 Dr. Bruce Spittle
http://www.fluorideresearch.org/443/files/FJ2011_v44_n3_p00i-0vi_sfs.pdf

Harvard Study finds fluoride lowers IQ published in Federal Government Journal Published 15 August, 2012 http://www.fluorideaustralia.org/_img/Article000/art060_ehp.1104912.pdf

The International Society for Fluoride Research Inc.

www.fluorideresearch.org www.fluorideresearch.com www.fluorideresearch.net

Fluoride & aluminium in your water Brain & Kidney Damage http://www.frequencyrising.com/water_filters_fluoride_aluminum.htm

Fluoride & The Brain - Fluorides Damage To The Brain <http://www.fluoridealert.org/issues/health/brain/>

Fluoride health effects <http://www.fluoridealert.org/issues/health/>

Psychiatrist, Dr. Keith Ablow of Fox - TV's Medical News Team says children with brain disorders should avoid fluoridated water <http://www.foxnews.com/health/2012/08/22/does-fluoride-in-drinking-water-hurt-your-brain/%23ixzz24K2CKJ5J>

Fluoride & intelligence: the 36 studies - reduced IQ learning & memory impaired

<http://www.fluoridealert.org/studies/brain01/>

Health effects fluoride & the brain - <http://www.fluoridealert.org/articles/science-watch01/>

"In a meta-analysis, researchers from Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH) and China Medical University in Shenyang for the first time combined 27 studies and found strong indications that fluoride may adversely affect cognitive development..."

https://www.facebook.com/firewaterfilm/posts/598841086864587?stream_ref=10

~~

Neurotoxicity of fluoride Dr. Phyllis Mullenix <http://www.fluoridealert.org/content/mullenix-interview/>

and more <http://www.fluoridealert.org/?s=neurotoxicity+of+fluoride+dr.+phyllis+mullenix>

Expert Testimony: How your drinking water may be damaging your brain

1 July, 2014

http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2014/07/01/water-supply-fluoridation.aspx?e_cid=20140713Z3_SNL_MS_1&utm_source=snl&utm_medium=email&utm_content=ms1&utm_campaign=20140713Z3&et_cid=DM52821&et_rid=582780272


+

The Lancet Neurology, Volume 13, Issue 3, Pages 330 - 338, March 2014

doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70278-3 Cite or Link Using DOI

Copyright © 2014 Elsevier Ltd All rights reserved.

Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity

Dr Philippe Grandjean MD a b , Philip J Landrigan MD c

Neurodevelopmental disabilities, including autism, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, dyslexia, and other cognitive impairments, affect millions of children worldwide, and some diagnoses seem to be increasing in frequency. Industrial chemicals that injure the developing brain are among the known causes for this rise in prevalence. In 2006, we did a systematic review and identified five industrial chemicals as developmental neurotoxicants: lead, methylmercury, polychlorinated biphenyls, arsenic, and toluene. Since 2006, epidemiological studies have documented six additional developmental neurotoxicants—manganese, **fluoride**, chlorpyrifos, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, tetrachloroethylene, and the polybrominated diphenyl ethers. We postulate that even more neurotoxicants remain undiscovered. To control the pandemic of developmental neurotoxicity, we propose a global prevention strategy. Untested chemicals should

not be presumed to be safe to brain development, and chemicals in existing use and all new chemicals must therefore be tested for developmental neurotoxicity. To coordinate these efforts and to accelerate translation of science into prevention, we propose the urgent formation of a new international clearinghouse.

[http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanneur/article/PIIS1474-4422\(13\)70278-3/abstract](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanneur/article/PIIS1474-4422(13)70278-3/abstract)

+

Link with silicofluoride/“fluoridation chemicals” and violence and criminality:-

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/silicofluorides-water-fluoridation-chemicals/>

Neurotoxicity - Violence and Criminality click on that tab on this link >

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/>

+

Australia has topped the United Nation's 2014 World Drug Report with a higher proportion of people getting high than anywhere else in the world. <http://news.ninensn.com.au/national/2014/07/07/05/22/australia-tops-un-report-for-drug-users>

Comment:

No surprises here - with the disgraceful Australian Government forcing 'water fluoridation' i.e. potent neurotoxic silicofluorides & co-contaminants into our water supplies (and hence food chain) since 1953; 90% + of Australia polluted with this neurotoxic chemical cocktail - causing all sorts of behavioural problems, mental health problems, anti-social and criminal behaviour (and other adverse health effects) - the power of the Australian must demand that all water fluoridation cease urgently and irrevocably for all time. DDB

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/>

+

I have compiled some information on violence and criminality and link to silicofluorides (as per work of Professor Roger Masters) – Australia wide has a serious problem with widespread violence and criminality – I hope many more people are able to open their minds and get past the propaganda by The Pro-fluoridation Web of Deception and see the true harm and abysmal failure of this hazardous waste known as water fluoridation.

Violence and criminality is now a constant:-

Violence on Brisbane streets 9 Dec. 2013 - Note in Brisbane etc., we were fluoridated/polluted with these potent NEUROTOXINS (water fluoridation chemicals/hazardous waste) in December, 2008. They can spin it all they like our violence and crime in Brisbane and all surrounding areas, Gold Coast, Sunshine Coast etc., has skyrocketed !

THIS is Queensland's drunken shame police have vowed to stamp out this Christmas.As the party season kicks into gear, police are increasingly responding to drunken weekend violence, including this brawl and a separate glassing in Brisbane's CBD. *The Courier-Mail* captured the moments a man was king hit in the back of the head on Friday at 9.20pm, at a time when many revellers arrive in the city for a night out. The fight spilled on to the road on Edward St where traffic was forced to stop as the men continued to brawl. <http://www.couriermail.com.au/news/queensland/the-couriermail-captures-images-of-alcoholfuelled-violence-on-brisbane-streets-in-the-leadup-to->

christmas/story-fnihsrf2-1226778231087?utm_source=Courier%20Mail&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=editorial&net_sub_uid=92613898

Woman shot in chest, Wavell Heights, Brisbane 10 December, 2013

http://www.couriermail.com.au/news/queensland/woman-shot-in-chest-on-campbell-terrace-wavell-heights/story-fnihsrf2-1226779415262?utm_source=Courier%20Mail&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=editorial&net_sub_uid=92613898

The crime and violence never stops: <http://news.ninemsn.com.au/national/2013/04/23/07/09/cars-flipped-after-party-turns-feral>

<http://news.ninemsn.com.au/national/2013/05/12/08/43/teenage-girl-stabbed-at-queensland-skate-park>

<http://news.ninemsn.com.au/national/2013/06/19/05/52/girls-filmed-assaulting-man-on-melbourne-train>

Violence in Schools

Schools across the country have become dangerous places with teachers painting a terrifying picture of classroom violence - and the culprits are getting younger

<http://au.news.yahoo.com/today-tonight/video/watch/17347174/violence-in-schools/>

<http://www.wdty.com/fluoride-hyperactivity-and-violence.html>

Parents call for more effort to curb classroom violence July 15, 2013

A key Queensland parents' group says curbing violence in the state's schools needs a proactive, not reactive approach. The comments from Parents and Citizens Association Queensland chief executive officer Peter Levett come in response to revelations the state school staff have been paid more than \$10 million for psychological injuries caused by violent incidents. Details, obtained by News Ltd, show Queensland school staff have been subjected to assaults, sexual assaults and students wielding weapons. <http://www.brisbanetimes.com.au/queensland/parents-call-for-more-effort-to-curb-classroom-violence-20130715-2pz3z.html>

For example Sydney 'fluoridated' since 1968 - NSW now has a saturation rate across the entire State of 96% of these potent neurotoxins known as water fluoridation -this is obscene <http://www.abc.net.au/news/topic/macquarie-fields-2564> The remainder of Australia heavily fluoridated also.

Get used to this violence and criminality until you take the potent neurotoxins hazardous waste pollutants fluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides & co contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, etc., (and added aluminium sulphate also) KNOWN AS WATER FLUORIDATION - this of course also contaminates our entire food chain - NEUROTOXINS should be banned from our drinking water (& hence food chain) NOT ADDED BY A GOVERNMENT !!! Violence & criminality and silicofluorides (water fluoridation) <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/silicofluorides-water-fluoridation-chemicals/> Alzheimer's Disease EPIDEMIC fluoride - aluminium Wake up and end WATER FLUORIDATION/ POLLUTION AS A MATTER OF EXTREME URGENCY AND END IT FOR ALL TIME !!!!

Do not continue to ignore the link with Silicofluorides and violence and criminality.
Blacks suffer more from Water Fluoridation

http://journal.livingfood.us/2013/06/19/blacks-suffer-more-from-water-fluoridation/?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+wordpress%2FhnsX+%28J+Natural+Food+%26+Health%29

In addition to all else, also of great concern to me is the fact that the effects of these hazardous waste pollutants fluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides on our aboriginal people appear to be even worse - I have a lot of information in my report hereunder - also particularly see <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/silicofluorides-water-fluoridation-chemicals/> and <http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/news-media-reports-research/>

Civil Rights Violation Regarding Forced Medication

League of United Latin American Citizens

http://lulac.org/advocacy/resolutions/2011/resolution_Civil_Rights_Violation_Regarding_Forced_Medication/

Fluoride Research on Water Fluoridation and Crime in the United States of America.

If water fluoridation were ended, it might take a generation for the effects to recede. If it continues to expand, the “signal” identified in this study may get lost in the “noise” of endemic violence.

<http://www.fluoridresearch.org/381/files/38111-22.pdf>

In the documents attached to this Report

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/silicofluorides-water-fluoridation-chemicals/> you will find document headed ‘Yes, lead poisoning could really be a cause of violent crime’ - I had made additions which refer to the work of Professor Roger Masters - Silicofluorides (water fluoridation chemicals) and violent crime and enhanced lead uptake.

See also: Widespread Violence Tasmania and other States of Australia

http://www.themercury.com.au/article/2012/09/15/361611_todays-news.html

Violent Behaviour and Criminality - adverse health and behaviour from silicofluorides - Research Professor Roger Masters - Nelson A. Rockefeller Professor of Government, Emeritus, Dartmouth College, Hanover, new Hampshire <http://www.dartmouth.edu/~rmasters/>

Water Treatment with silicofluorides and lead toxicity

<http://cof-cof.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/Masters-Coplan-Water-Treatment-With-Silicofluorides-And-Lead-Toxicity-International-Journal-Of-Environmental-Studies-1999.pdf>

+

Potent neurotoxins/carcinogens fluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium etc., (and added to this haz waste soup is also aluminium sulphate) in our water supplies and through food chain which are known as water fluoridation chemicals - and also what many people are unaware of - particularly the effects on the thyroid, liver, cns, P450 system etc.. <http://poisonfluoride.com/pfpc/html/prozac.html>

+

Neurodevelopmental disabilities, including autism, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, dyslexia, and other cognitive impairments, affect millions of children worldwide, and some diagnoses seem to be increasing in frequency. Industrial chemicals that injure the developing brain are among the known causes for this rise in prevalence. In 2006, we did a systematic review and identified five industrial chemicals as developmental neurotoxicants: lead, methylmercury, polychlorinated biphenyls, arsenic, and toluene. Since 2006, epidemiological studies have documented six additional developmental neurotoxicants—manganese, fluoride, chlorpyrifos, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, tetrachloroethylene, and the polybrominated diphenyl ethers. We postulate that even more neurotoxicants remain undiscovered. To control the pandemic of developmental neurotoxicity, we propose a global prevention strategy. Untested chemicals should not be presumed to be safe to brain development, and chemicals in existing use and all new chemicals must therefore be tested for developmental neurotoxicity. To coordinate these efforts and to accelerate translation of science into prevention, we propose the urgent formation of a new international clearinghouse.

[http://www.thelancet.com/journals/laneur/article/PIIS1474-4422\(13\)70278-3/fulltext#article_upsell](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/laneur/article/PIIS1474-4422(13)70278-3/fulltext#article_upsell)

+

Australia has topped the United Nation's 2014 World Drug Report with a higher proportion of people getting high than anywhere else in the world. <http://news.ninemsn.com.au/national/2014/07/07/05/22/australia-tops-un-report-for-drug-users>

Comment:

No surprises here - with the disgraceful Australian Government forcing 'water fluoridation' i.e. potent neurotoxic silicofluorides & co-contaminants into our water supplies (and hence food chain) since 1953; 90% + of Australia polluted with this neurotoxic chemical cocktail - causing all sorts of behavioural problems, mental health problems, anti-social and criminal behaviour (and other adverse health effects) - the power of the Australian must demand that all water fluoridation cease urgently and irrevocably for all time. DDB

<http://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/violencecriminality/>

Australian students get C on global report card http://www.afr.com/p/national/australian_students_get_on_global_3ZvsUhpHrQLqzpGb1Ew4aP

Australia's disaster in education <http://www.smh.com.au/national/education/australias-disaster-in-education-20121211-2b7y8.html>

+

ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE - Epidemic - Fluoride/Aluminium - By Doug Cross

In addition to the fluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides (hazardous waste pollutants and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, etc., known as water fluoridation chemicals) they also add aluminium sulphate.

Australia already faces Alzheimer's Disease (& Kidney disease) epidemics - STOP 'WATER FLUORIDATION/Pollution' immediately permanently & irrevocably for all time or face the ruin.

Dementia, including Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is fast becoming the greatest public health issue, ever. In the UK the Alzheimer's Society recently stated that one in three persons over the age of 65 will die from AD - and it's increasing so fast that in thirty years time the prevalence is expected to treble (do the maths and shiver!). Your children will live with fluorosis, but they will die with dementia.

Since the evidence that environmental exposure to ionic aluminium salts, such as the aluminium sulphate used in water treatment, is a leading cause of AD. There is a 50% and 150% increase in AD in areas where the water contains only half the permitted maximum of 0.2ppm. Fluoride in water - increases the speed at which it is absorbed and transferred to the brain. **Adding Fluoride To Drinking Water Is Literally Insane.** Fluoridation is bad on its own, but in the presence of aluminium its effects are becoming catastrophic as our 'aluminium clocks' tick away the countdown to Alzheimer's - fluoride speeds up that lethal clock..

By Doug Cross UKCAF <http://ukcaf.org/>

CV <http://www.intota.com/expert-consultant.asp?bioID=778541&perID=728108>

~~

This FROM 1999 !! FLUORIDE/ALUMINIUM BRAIN & KIDNEY DAMAGE

1999 US EPA MINIMIZES 80% DEATH RATE IN EXPERIMENTAL LAB RATS by George Glasser

Recent USEPA concerns over arsenic, a Group 1 (a) carcinogen, caused the government-contracted water treatment chemical certification laboratory, National Sanitation Foundation International, to say that there will be "increased product failures" due to high arsenic levels in fluorosilicic acid. USEPA has asked the US Government to dramatically reduce arsenic levels in drinking water from 50 parts per billion to 5 parts per billion. The EPA is keen to change the regulations because arsenic is known to cause a wide range of cancers in humans.

More recently, the primary component of fluorosilicic acid and sodium fluorosilicate - silicon - has also been discovered in the brain plaque of Alzheimer's and Alzheimer's-like dementia (ALD) victims. Silica has also been found in the brain tissue of people with primary brain tumors, which is considered a terminal condition. Although aware of these new developments, no responsible government agency including the USEPA will request that any research be done with the actual toxic waste "product" used to fluoridate public drinking water.

source: http://www.frequencyrising.com/water_filters_fluoride_aluminum.htm

+

"Fluorides also increase the production of free radicals in the brain through several different biological pathways. These changes have a bearing on the possibility that fluorides act to increase the risk of developing Alzheimer's disease." http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=11571&page=222

+

Studies show there may be grave implications for Alzheimers, Dementia, Attention Deficit Disorder, reduced IQ in children and more - Neurotoxicity of Fluoride 1996 <http://ffo-olf.org/neurological.html>

+

Comment by DDB - these 'water fluoridation' pollutants are the **neurotoxic mix** of the hazardous waste pollutants hexafluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, beryllium, thallium etc., and added also is aluminium sulphate, which the Government and their interests use 'by force/mandate without the populations' informed consent as a treatment of us all to 'fluoridate/pollute our water supplies and hence food chain, all life and environment with these hazardous waste water fluoridation chemicals'.

+

Mental health

Pop, heavy metal and the blues: secondary analysis of persistent organic pollutants (POP), heavy metals and depressive symptoms in the NHANES National Epidemiological Survey

Heavy metals are very well-established neurotoxins, with extensive data on mercury, lead and other agents. They can interfere with major cellular systems at levels well below those causing classic signs of toxicity.⁴⁰, ⁴¹ Cadmium induces neurotoxicity via multiple pathways, including interference with the blood-brain barrier, increases in oxidative stress, interference with zinc and calcium-dependent processes and metallothionein and induction of apoptosis. Intriguingly, many of these pathways are now known to be intimately involved in the pathophysiology of mood disorders.⁴² Cadmium ions bind to mitochondria and can inhibit respiration and oxidative phosphorylation.⁴⁰ Furthermore, cadmium has been associated with synaptic malfunction through attenuation of Na(+)-dependent glutamate uptake and blockage of voltage-gated Ca(2+).⁴³ It is worth mentioning that ketamine, a *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist that, in turn, increases presynaptic glutamate release, has emerged as a new drug for depression treatment.⁴⁴ Similarly, lead impacts many pathways known to be operative in the genesis of depression, including neurogenesis and apoptosis, oxidative stress and glutathione, glutamate, calcium and calmodulin and neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine.¹⁸, ¹⁹, ⁴⁵, ⁴⁶ In one study examining mental health in individuals exposed via mining to heavy metals, including cadmium, zinc, lead and copper, higher total scale scores on the Symptom Checklist 90 (SCL-90) were seen in the exposed compared with the control group, and ratings on somatisation, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, paranoid ideation, psychoticism and other symptoms were higher in the exposed individuals.⁴⁷ In a clinical study, higher levels of cadmium and lead were found in individuals with depression, and higher levels of lead in those with schizophrenia.⁴⁸

<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/4/7/e005142.full>

ALARM BELLS

Drinking, eating & bathing in hazardous waste aka water fluoridation

Hydrofluoric Acid - Acutely toxic chemical

http://www-esh.fnal.gov/CourseHandout_Mat/Hydrofluoric Acid Safety Handout.pdf

Dialysis Water Pre-treatment - SEE WATER CONTAMINATION 'FLUORIDE' AND 'ALUMINIUM' AND HOW DANGEROUS IS INCLUDED:-

TABLE 1: Haemodialysis Risks associated with Water Contamination; my comment: we should all be getting safe water.

http://healthfacilityguidelines.com.au/AusHFG_Documents/Guidelines/Dialysis%20Water%20Pre-Treatment%20for%20In-Centre%20and%20Satellite%20Haemodialysis%20Units%20in%20NSW,%20A%20Set%20of%20Guidelines.pdf

Health Canada No safety studies - they also push this chronic poisoning on their population > <http://canadianawareness.org/2014/12/information-request-shows-health-canada-has-no-safety-studies-on-chemical-used-as-fluoride/>

Masters/EPA Letter No Safety Data > <https://fluorideinformationaustralia.wordpress.com/not-safe-not-effective/>

Processed food causes fluorosis: Study Jan. 2, 2015
<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/chennai/Processed-food-causes-fluorosis-Study/articleshow/45723549.cms>

SEE FLUORIDE ACTION NETWORK www.fluoridealert.org

HEALTH EFFECTS DATABASE http://fluoridealert.org/researchers/health_database/

Fluoride and your Thyroid - a dangerous connection <http://www.stopthethyroidmadness.com/fluoride-and-your-thyroid/>

I guarantee we would all be 'staggered and flattened' if a Forensic Analysis was performed on all water fluoridation Australia wide since 1953 - we are staggered just to see some of the figures from Queensland's dumping/disposal of this hazardous waste costs they call 'water fluoridation'. No wonder Queensland has a water grid bill of \$10.5 BILLION and the people are 'paying with their blood' for the cost of water and the cost of the harm to their long term health and safety. Stop the Fluoridation Fraud/Web of Deception now and demand all water fluoridation Australia wide cease and desist immediate and irrevocably for all time.

I believe that everyone who does independent /unbiased/free of conflicts of interests research will be opposed to the dumping/disposal of dangerously corrosive hazardous waste pollutants and co-contaminants - ethics, rights, rights of informed consent to treatment - refer Nuremberg Code; Precautionary Principle - we all must continue to fight against this pollution known as 'water fluoridation' - and the fact that Australia wide and USA in dental crisis after decades of this water fluoridation/pollution.

Unfortunately for our population, our Authorities, dentists, doctors and ors. and their interests (it is alleged) are recklessly negligent in their 'belief' (and the forcing of it on us all) in water fluoridation/pollution. It is only their political power and media power and also the 'corporate sponsorships' etc., of dental schools at Universities that keeps these dangerously corrosive hazardous waste pollutants hexafluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium etc., known as 'water fluoridation' polluting our water supplies (and hence our food chain). (Also added to that hazardous waste soup is aluminium sulphate).

Australia wide in dental crisis after widespread fluoridation in every State, first commencing Beaconsfield, Tasmania in 1953 - likewise USA in dental crisis after widespread 'fluoridation' first

beginning in 1945 Grand Rapids Michigan. There are more dentists, dental surgeries, dental corporations than ever before.

There is so much conflicts of interests, corruption, collusion, 'greased up palms' that no one trusts any of the organisations pushing this hazardous waste on our population and environment. Anyone who has no problem with force feeding the population, all life and our environment water fluoridation which is hazardous waste from the phosphate fertiliser industries and/or aluminium smelting operations, the 'fluoride' is hexafluorosilicic acid and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium, etc., and added is also aluminium sulphate and/or Sodium Fluoride; is failing all ethics; for these organisations to put their name in favour of 'water fluoridation/pollution' is a disgrace to them, they are partners in the chronic poisoning of our nations - the financial interests of so many put \$\$\$\$ above any concern for human health, animal health or environmental health - they all should be on criminal charges for being a part of the Fluoride Deception.

The only answer EVER was to provide access to affordable dental health care services for all the population, not the dumping/disposal of hazardous waste pollutants fluorosilicic acid/silicofluorides and co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, thallium, beryllium, etc., (known as water fluoridation); into our drinking water supplies and hence also the contamination of our food chain and using the populations' kidneys as hazardous waste disposal/filtration units.

These hazardous waste pollutants and co-contaminants (from phosphate fertiliser industries) must not be dumped in the ground, air, rivers, oceans, creeks but dump it in the water supplies as a forced 'medical /dental treatment' (without informed consent !) and it magically becomes safe and effective.

STOP WATER FLUORIDATION/POLLUTION WITH HAZARDOUS TOXIC, NEUROTOXIC AND CARCINOGENIC POLLUTANTS URGENTLY AND IRREVOCABLY FOR ALL TIME FOR ALL OUR SAKES AND THE SAKE OF OUR ENVIRONMENT.

8 January, 2015

PSUBMISSION FORM

I do / do not (delete whichever does not apply) give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation

Medsafe

Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation

Ministry of Health

PO Box 5013

Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz



Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981-Fluoride 2014

askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 01:25 p.m.

c

History:

This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name

Email:

Address:

I do NOT support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander and visitor to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I am a vision impaired independent researcher, who has been researching artificial fluoridation using industrial waste chemicals for 7years. My husband worked in the factory "Pivot" in Geelong, where the fluorosilicic acid is a bi-product of fertilizer manufacturing. The reason it was a bi-product is because the cows and other farm animals were getting sick from inducing the fluorosilicic acid. So the industry was told to remove it from the fertilizer. Now it is sold to the Water Board and put into community drinking water.

Let me explain to you in plain terms, a toxic bi-product from fertilizer manufacturing has been re-packaged and sold as a medicine to cure dental disease. This medicine has never been tested for human safety EVER like other medicines for example Panadol or Asprins. Even at small doses fluorosilicic acid combined with other chemicals and metals in the water pipes creates a toxic poison when induced over a LIFETIME.

Your logic in making fluorosilicic acid at a small concentration exempt from the Medicines Act 1981 will bring forth an action to make toothpaste a prescribed medicine as it is sold at higher concentrations than found in artificial water fluoridation. Our

mouths absorb the fluoride in toothpaste in the same way a heart patient is administered medication under his tongue when having a heart attack. It seems that if this legislation is passed then the concentration of fluoride in toothpaste will need to be drastically reduced or toothpaste will become a high dose medicine requiring all the testing which all other medicines require prior to being released to the public.

Due to my vision disability I will be forwarding this submission to our advocates and requesting that all vision impaired people be informed of the toxic waste product fluorosilicic acid rebranded a medication which they are unaware they are ingesting. It's time this charade of artificial water fluoridation was stopped and all human beings be treated with respect. We are able to take responsibility for our own dental health, the government, water authorities and dental associations should stick to their own responsibilities as they are not qualified to practice being a doctor to administer uncontrolled medicines and mass medicate. Perhaps vaccines will be administered via our water supply next? Where will the insanity stop!

Kind regards

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation

Medsafe

Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation

Ministry of Health

PO Box 5013

Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

Sent from my iPad

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:
Email
Address

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.





**Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations
under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)**

: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 01:46 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.”

Medsafe

Name:

Email

Address


Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because: 1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine 2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine 3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm” 4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.





Submission

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 01:51 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

Name:

Email:

Address:


Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?
NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people
I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Regards,





Fluoride submission form

to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 01:54 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982
 Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:

Email

Address

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?
 NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:


1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?
 NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people.

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation
 Medsafe
 Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation
 Ministry of Health
 PO Box 5013
 Wellington 6145





to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 02:01 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.



Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations
under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)

: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 02:12 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines
Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official
Information Act 1982

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:
Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and
sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are
manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water
supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a
medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of
medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people
from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the
indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water
supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In
community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat
people



Fluoride Submission

to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 02:15 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982 Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?
NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation, the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people. We do not add compounds to the water to treat ovaries, spleens or eyes. Why teeth?

I wish to speak to my submission.



Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

~~I~~ / do not (delete whichever does not apply) give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

~~I~~ / do not (delete whichever does not apply) wish to speak to my submission

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?


- NO.** I do not support the proposed amendment because:
1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
 2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
 3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
 4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

Post to:
Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation
Medsafe
Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation
Ministry of Health
PO Box 5013
Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz





Submission for a Fluoride free NZ

TO: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 02:19 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to "treat" community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation

Medsafe


Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation

Ministry of Health

PO Box 5013

Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz





fluoride

askmedsafe

08/01/2015 02:28 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. ***Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?***

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. ***Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?***

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.





Submission Form - Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 02:37 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Nam
Emai
Addre

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

Also, growing up in rural Canterbury on rainwater supply and only at a later age did we have a reticulated supply and therefore no fluoridation, I have never had an issue with my teeth. I never had fluoride in the water growing up and this was not a detriment to my health. There is a great danger of too much fluoride, from the addition of this to water and with toothpaste etc. – totally unnecessary in my opinion. Medicine should not be added to a community water supply.

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Kind regards,

SUBMISSION FORM

Please provide your contact details below. You may also wish to use this form to comment on the proposed amendment.

Name:	Rudi Johnson
If this submission is made on behalf of an organisation, please name that organisation here:	Te Ao Marama – the New Zealand Maori Dental Association
Please provide a brief description of the organisation if applicable:	Our organisation is the professional group for all Maori Oral Health professionals, including dental therapists, dentists, dental specialists, researchers, dental assistants, oral health promoters, students. Also, we are an advocacy and advisory group for improved oral health outcomes for Maori.
Address/email:	nzteaomarama@gmail.com
Your interest in this topic (for example, local body, consumer, manufacturer, health professional etc):	<p>Maori children and adults have more untreated dental disease and more missing teeth and are less likely to get teeth checked by a dental therapist or dentist, compared to non-Māori.</p> <p>Also, our relationship with water and the role as kaitiaki in managing water has been formally recognised in New Zealand legislation and policy.</p> <p>Therefore, it is essential that Maori are always consulted with and our values understood and accounted for when decisions are made regarding water.</p> <p>Our organisation's interest in this topic is as the representative group for Maori oral health professionals; as well as the advisory group for oral health, from a Maori perspective.</p>
<p>Question 1</p> <p><i>Do you support the proposed amendment? If not, why not?</i></p>	<p>Te Ao Marama – the New Zealand Maori Dental Association does support the proposed amendment to the Medicines Regulations</p> <p>Why?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Our members voted unanimously at our AGM in May 2014 to support community water fluoridation

	<p>projects in Aotearoa.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Also, the three articles of the Treaty of Waitangi 1840 contributes to health, in its principles of Partnership (working with iwi, hapu and whanau to develop strategies for Maori health gain; Participation (involving Maori at all levels of decision making, planning, development and delivery of health services; and Protection (working to ensure Maori have at least the same level of health as non-Maori, and safeguard Maori cultural concepts, views and practices). • Furthermore, Professor John Broughton (Ngai Tahu, Ngāti Kahungunu and Patron of Te Ao Mārama NZ Māori Dental Association) wrote in 2008. "In te ao hou (the contemporary world), the fluoridation of water supplies does not conflict with the concept of waiora (the water of life) because fluoridated water brings good oral health and well-being by protecting the dentition and the life of the teeth." • Finally, Justice Collins has made it very clear in his ruling of 9 October 2014 [1] that fluoride added to the drinking water supply is not a medicine, within the meaning of the Medicines Act, because the maximum allowable concentration in drinking water is 1mg/L and Schedule 1 of the Medicines Regulations specifies that every reference to a medicine in the Schedule only applies if the concentration of that medicine is greater than 10 mg/L. <p>However, the proposal to clarify that "Fluoride-containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purposes of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies" is a sensible and appropriate measure in the opinion of the Association.</p> <p><u>References:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. New Health New Zealand v Attorney-General [2014] NZHC2487 2. Broughton JR. Te Waiora, the water of life. New Zealand Dental Journal 2008; pg144.
<p>Question 2</p> <p><i>Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so,</i></p>	<p>Water NZ lists three chemicals used for the fluoridation of drinking water:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fluorosilicic acid (FSA) – formerly known as hydrofluorosilicic/hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA). • Sodium fluoride

<p><i>what are they?</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sodium fluorosilicate (SFS) – also known as sodium silicofluoride. Sodium fluoride and SFS are supplied as powders that are dissolved in water to make a solution that is then added to the water supply while FSA is supplied as a liquid. <p>Fluoride is added to the water at a controlled rate relative to the flow through the treatment plant to achieve a target concentration in the treated water. The fluoride concentration in the water that leaves the treatment plan needs to be closely monitored.</p> <p><u>Reference:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Watson A and Mace L. Drinking Water Fluoridation in New Zealand. Water (November 2014);pgs32to36.https://12240onsole.memberconnect.com/Folder?Action=View%20File&Folder_id=89&File=WATER%20FLUORIDATION%20ARTICLE_Pages%20from%20WATER_NOV_2014.pdf

Please note that all correspondence may be requested by any member of the public under the Official Information Act 1982. If there is any part of your correspondence that you consider should be properly withheld under this legislation, please make this clear in your submission, noting the reasons why you would like the information to be withheld.

If information from your submission is requested under the Act, the Ministry of Health will release your submission to the person who requested it. However, if you are an individual, rather than an organisation, the Ministry will remove your personal details from the submission if you check the following box:

- I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

All submissions will be acknowledged, and a summary of submissions will be sent to those who request a copy. The summary will include the names of all those who made a submission. In the case of individuals who withhold permission to release personal details, the name of the organisation will be given if supplied.



1129

SUBMISSION FORM **DATED :** **8 JANUARY 2015**

I ~~xxx~~ / **do not** (delete whichever does not apply) give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." **Medsafe**

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I ~~xx~~ / do not (delete whichever does not apply) wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation

Medsafe


Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation

Ministry of Health

PO Box 5013

Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz





FLOURIDE REMAINS A CHEMICAL MEDICINE

ion to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 02:41 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982
 Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:
 Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name

Email:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines
5. As a NAVIGATIONAL RESEAR HER OF INVESTIGATIVE STUDIES, British introduced chemical poison 'fluoride' should REMAIN IN MEDICINES ACT. Your pakeha poisons are all leftover war chemicals from the colonial trying be inforced in the millennium age, its all outdated like queen elizabeth.

DOCTORS WORK FOR CHEMISTS

Lets look at history for there lies the adult truth, doctors under queen elizabeths horse piddles (hospitals) established, give prescriptions mixed by the local chemist. Its common sense pakeha poisons such as pills etc, are artificial, test tube produced, chemistry based. It certainly does not grow from trees! Your university degree doctors are instructed by chemists and sceintists. This highlights a racists culture of dictators who need jailing for MASS POISONING WHOLE COMMUNITIES as they instruct city councils to put un-natural additives to drinking water, especially when these adults cant think out side the square and consider all possible options to keep wster pure clean for drinking.

This is also a human rights issue up for review, I dont think councils should have that

authority over the water. The Maori Tribal Governance should decide.

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do wish to speak to my submission as a NAVIGATIONAL RESEARCHER OF INVESTIGATIVE STUDIES only if submission hearings are held in Rotorua. Otherwise British introduced chemical poison fluoride should REMAIN IN MEDICINES ACT. Your pakeha poisons are all leftover war chemicals from the colonial trying to be enforced in the millennium age, its all outdated like queen elizabeth.

DOCTORS WORK FOR CHEMISTS

Lets look at history for there lies the adult truth, doctors under queen elizabeths horse piddles (hospitals), give prescriptions mixed by the local chemist. Its common sense pakeha poisons such as pills etc, are artificial testtube produced, chemistry based. Your university degree doctors are instructed by chemists and scientists. This highlights a racists culture of dictators who need jailing for MASS POISONING WHOLE COMMUNITIES as they instruct city councils to put un-natural additives to drinking water.

This is also a human rights issue up for review, I dont think councils should have that authority over the water. The Maori Tribal Governance should decide.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation

Medsafe

Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation

Ministry of Health

PO Box 5013

Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz



Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)

From: askmedsafe

Date: 08/01/2015 02:54 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation

Medsafe
Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation
Ministry of Health
PO Box 5013
Wellington 6145

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not (delete whichever does not apply) wish to speak to my submission.

Post to:

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation

Medsafe

SUBMISSION FORM

Please provide your contact details below. You may also wish to use this form to comment on the proposed amendment.

Name:	
If this submission is made on behalf of an organisation, please name that organisation here:	N/A
Please provide a brief description of the organisation if applicable:	N/A
Address/email: 17 Stirling Cres Hokowhitu Palmerston North 4410	
Your interest in this topic (for example, local body, consumer, manufacturer, health professional etc):	consumer
Question 1 <i>Do you support the proposed amendment? If not, why not?</i>	No I don't support the proposed amendment because fluoride should be considered medicine as the excuse councils have for adding it to our water supply is that it is required to reduce cavities. Therefore it should be classed as a medicine since it is for the 'benefit' of people with cavities.
Question 2 <i>Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?</i>	Unknown

Please note that all correspondence may be requested by any member of the public under

the Official Information Act 1982. If there is any part of your correspondence that you consider should be properly withheld under this legislation, please make this clear in your submission, noting the reasons why you would like the information to be withheld.

If information from your submission is requested under the Act, the Ministry of Health will release your submission to the person who requested it. However, if you are an individual, rather than an organisation, the Ministry will remove your personal details from the submission if you check the following box:

- I **do not** give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

All submissions will be acknowledged, and a summary of submissions will be sent to those who request a copy. The summary will include the names of all those who made a submission. In the case of individuals who withhold permission to release personal details, the name of the organisation will be given if supplied.



**Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations
under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)**

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 03:19 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to '**treat**' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Yours Truly

**SUBMISSION FORM**

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 03:24 p.m.

SUBMITTED BY

History: This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name

Email

Address:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

1



Submission To The Fluoride Exemption To The Medicines Act 1981

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 03:24 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

To whom this may concern,

I am writing to you to voice my opinions, via your submission process, on the proposed exemption of fluoride from the Medicine's Act 1981. I am involved in the local Hamilton chapter of the Weston A Price Foundation. The Weston A. Price Foundation does not support the practice of fluoridation of public water supplies. I write to you as part of the Weston A Price Foundation, but also off my own back as I also have strong personal views AGAINST the fluoridation of community water supplies. Please find my submission below. Thank you.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014) “It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines. I also believe that making an exemption for fluoride under the Medicines Act would possibly undermine the Act itself.

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water

supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people.

I do wish to speak to my submission.

Whether fluoride is or is not beneficial for human health is debatable. But it is not the issue being discussed here. The issue at hand is about choice. Fluoride is the only medication I know of that is given in indiscriminate doses (through town water supplies) to an entire population WITHOUT the consent of that population. That in of itself is totally WRONG!

If people do need fluoride (and I don't believe they do personally) then it should be proscribed by a dentist and administered to an individual patient at the correct dose for that person, with that person or the guardian of that person's FULL and INFORMED consent. All risks and side effects of fluoride should be explained to that person, just as it should be with any other medicine.

Fluoridating water breaches all of these standards usually applied to every other medication I certainly know of. I fear that, by exempting fluoride from the Medicines Act 1981, that it will undermine the Act itself. I fear that if fluoride is exempt, what other potentially harmful substances can be exempt from the Act at the whim of the government of the day? I feel that the Medicines Act was enacted for a reason... and a very noble one. Exempting substances at the whim of the government of the day is, in my opinion, a very dangerous and slippery slope to go down. I personally do not want to see New Zealand go down this road of eroding acts like the Medicines Act 1981 that were enacted for a very good public health reason.

What I want to know is what makes fluoride so important and so "special"? What sets fluoride aside from every other medicine that it needs to be exempt from the Medicines Act?

Fluoride should not be a medication that is forced on those of us who do not need it and/or who do not WANT it. I choose not to put fluoride into my body. I should, as an adult, have that right to choose what does and does not go into my body. I should also have that right to make those choices over my dependents' bodies as well. I do not need a nanny state to make those choices for me. Thank you.



Fluoride submission

askmedsafe

08/01/2015 03:26 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

- 1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
- 2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
- 3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
- 4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people.

I do wish to speak to my submission.


Submission

o: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 03:30 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:
Email:
Address:

I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries - therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I would appreciate the opportunity to speak to my submission.

I do give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982



History: This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name
Email

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

8th January 2015

SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSAL THAT HFA AND SSF ARE NOT MEDICINES FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE MEDICINES ACT WHEN THEY ARE MANUFACTURED AND SUPPLIED OR DISTRIBUTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF FLUORIDATING COMMUNITY WATER.

Dear Medsafe,

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

Question 1: Do you support the proposed amendment ? If not, why not ?

Answer to Question 1:

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because :

- 1 Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
- 2 Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
- 3 The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
- 4 As a Registered Nurse it concerns me that there has NEVER been irrevocable science based evidence that ingesting HFA or SSF in the concentrations and doses administered in community water fluoridation cause no harm to the human body
- 5 I have seen many scientific peer reviewed studies showing clear evidence of risks of harm from the cumulative effects of swallowing fluoridated water
- 6 I am concerned also that the recent Gluckman/Skegg Review of Water Fluoridation was an attempt by the Ministry of Health to mislead the public of New Zealand and local body councillors regarding potential health risks associated with fluoridation
- 7 The Gluckman/Skegg Review identified large sections of the population of NZ who exceed the toxic limits for fluoride ingestion, including bottle fed infants, children under 8 years old, people with impaired kidney function, people who drink a lot of water, and people who have high fluoride intake already from other sources such as diet and toothpaste. Despite this the Gluckman/Skegg Review concluded that : “The safety margins are such that **no subset of the population** is at risk because of fluoridation.” Why is it obscuring the truth ?
- 8 It has been acknowledged by the MOH that any positive effects from fluoride in the prevention of dental caries are mainly obtained by its action on the surface of the teeth, therefore there is no logic in their insistence that the whole population of NZ be exposed to risks of harm by swallowing HFA and SSF

- 9 It is expensive and difficult for those who are sufficiently informed about fluoridation and therefore wish to opt out to do so
- 10 People who believe there is a benefit in ingesting fluoride can buy pharmaceutical grade sodium fluoride tablets from a pharmacy and, by taking the dose recommended on the bottle, have more control over the daily amount of fluoride they receive, than by drinking fluoridated water.
- 11 The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they ?

Answer to **Question 2** :

NO. This question is misleading. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to **'treat'** community water supplies. In community water fluoridation the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**.

I wish to speak to my submission.

Kind regards,



Fluoride

askmedsafe

08/01/2015 03:44 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that: Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Question 1. Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:


- 1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
- 2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
- 3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
- 4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to ‘treat’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

~





Fluoride legislation

jkmedsafe

08/01/2015 03:45 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

Name:**Email:****Address:****Question 1.** *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?***NO.** I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?***NO.** Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**.*I do not wish to speak to my submission.*

Regards,



**submission**

f

o: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 04:04 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

To whom this concerns,

Can you please add multi vitamins and Iron supplements and calcium to the water supply as well and may be some lemon flavour and food colouring.

What you are doing by adding Fluoride to public water is ONLY serving the criminal agenda of the un-elite.

5 Mins equal research on the pros and cons will show any conscious being the truth behind the LIE.

Stand up for your self and do your job!

Love!!



Submission: Keep Fluoridation chemicals under Medicines Act.

to: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 04:06 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

"It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies." Medsafe

Name: .

Email: .

Address: ' .

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm"
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat** people

I am an educated 34 year old Registered Nurse. I have studied human nutrition, and anatomy and physiology both formally and informally for well over 15 years. Those of us who are educated on the facts are strongly opposed to receiving this chemical forced into our water supply on the basis of preventing tooth decay. Prevention of tooth decay needs to be targeted at the real cause which is poor nutrition and overconsumption of sugar. Medicating ALL based on prevention of a health condition would be likened to putting Prozac in the water to prevent teenage suicide...It is ludicrous and goes against all common sense and no matter how you classify this chemical this will ALWAYS be a breach of human rights to have this added to our water ways without our consent. Sodium Fluoride is NOT needed or used by the body. Those of us who care for the wellbeing of ourselves and the health of the community at large and understand the real causes of disease and DO NOT want this in our water ways and we do not want this chemical declassified just so it can be forced into our water.

And as for making fluoridation chemical exempt from the Medicine Act - well IF you are treating tooth decay with this chemical then IT IS a medicine. Therefore, it should remain classified as a medicine! This is also common sense, however it appears common sense no longer prevails. Please listen to the people. We want our human rights preserved.

I do not wish to speak to my submission.



**Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations
under the Medicines Act 1981 - Fluoride (2014)**

o: askmedsafe

08/01/2015 04:13 p.m.

Sent by:
Please respond to

Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 Consultation
Medsafe
Clinical Leadership Protection & Regulation
Ministry of Health
Wellington

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

**Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the
Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)**

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:
Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email: _____

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine.
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries – therefore it is a medicine.
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”.
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines.

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF), the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat**

people.

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

SUBMISSION FORM

Please provide your contact details below. You may also wish to use this form to comment on the proposed amendment.

Name:	
If this submission is made on behalf of an organisation, please name that organisation here:	
Please provide a brief description of the organisation if applicable:	
Address/email:	
Your interest in this topic (for example, local body, consumer, manufacturer, health professional etc):	Trained Scientist in Biological Sciences - consumer
<p>Question 1</p> <p><i>Do you support the proposed amendment? If not, why not?</i></p>	<p>NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine 2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine 3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to "first do no harm" 4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the

	indiscriminate use of medicines
<p>Question 2</p> <p><i>Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?</i></p>	<p>NO. Fluoride and its compounds are not used to 'treat' community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the purpose of fluoride and its compounds is to treat people</p>

Please note that all correspondence may be requested by any member of the public under the Official Information Act 1982. If there is any part of your correspondence that you consider should be properly withheld under this legislation, please make this clear in your submission, noting the reasons why you would like the information to be withheld.

If information from your submission is requested under the Act, the Ministry of Health will release your submission to the person who requested it. However, if you are an individual, rather than an organisation, the Ministry will remove your personal details from the submission if you check the following box:

- I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

All submissions will be acknowledged, and a summary of submissions will be sent to those who request a copy. The summary will include the names of all those who made a submission. In the case of individuals who withhold permission to release personal details, the name of the organisation will be given if supplied.

**Fluoride**

askmedsafe

08/01/2015 04:18 p.m.

cc: j.coleman

History: This message has been replied to.

Dear Medsafe

SUBMISSION ON PROPOSAL THAT HFA AND SSF ARE NOT MEDICINES FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE MEDICINES ACT WHEN THEY ARE MANUFACTURED AND SUPPLIED OR DISTRIBUTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF FLUORIDATING COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLIES

QUESTION 1: DO YOU SUPPORT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT? IF NOT, WHY NOT?

ANSWER TO QUESTION 1

I oppose the proposed amendment for the following reasons:

1 = No Regulation should be made exempting HFA and SSF from being medicines until the Court of Appeal has determined whether or not HFA and SSF are medicines under the Medicines Act.

2 = If HFA and SSF are medicines they should not be exempt from the Medicines Act.

3 = If HFA and SSF are not medicines there is no need for the exemption.

4 = The Medicines Act is designed to ensure the safety, quality and efficacy of medicines. HFA and SSF should be subject to these controls.

5 = These controls will ensure that people are not exposed to uncontrolled doses of fluoride from an industrial grade and heavy-metal contaminated fluoride substance.

6 = If fluoride tablets are not recommended for babies, toddlers and pregnant women, these sub-populations should not be ingesting fluoridated water.

7 = No protection against dental decay is provided by swallowing fluoride; consequently HFA and SSF should not be swallowed.

8 = Those people who believe there is a benefit in ingesting fluoride can buy sodium fluoride tablets from a pharmacy.

QUESTION 2: ARE THERE ANY OTHER FLUORIDE-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS USED TO TREAT COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLIES THAT SHOULD BE SPECIFICALLY IN THE REGULATION? IF SO, WHAT ARE THEY?

ANSWER TO QUESTION 2: NO.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

Yours sincerely



Fluoride

.skmedsafe

08/01/2015 04:19 p.m.

Cc: j.coleman

History: This message has been replied to.

Dear Medsafe

SUBMISSION ON PROPOSAL THAT HFA AND SSF ARE NOT MEDICINES FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE MEDICINES ACT WHEN THEY ARE MANUFACTURED AND SUPPLIED OR DISTRIBUTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF FLUORIDATING COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLIES

QUESTION 1: DO YOU SUPPORT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT? IF NOT, WHY NOT?

ANSWER TO QUESTION 1

I oppose the proposed amendment for the following reasons:

1 = No Regulation should be made exempting HFA and SSF from being medicines until the Court of Appeal has determined whether or not HFA and SSF are medicines under the Medicines Act.

2 = If HFA and SSF are medicines they should not be exempt from the Medicines Act.

3 = If HFA and SSF are not medicines there is no need for the exemption.

4 = The Medicines Act is designed to ensure the safety, quality and efficacy of medicines. HFA and SSF should be subject to these controls.

5 = These controls will ensure that people are not exposed to uncontrolled doses of fluoride from an industrial grade and heavy-metal contaminated fluoride substance.

6 = If fluoride tablets are not recommended for babies, toddlers and pregnant women, these sub-populations should not be ingesting fluoridated water.

7 = No protection against dental decay is provided by swallowing fluoride; consequently HFA and SSF should not be swallowed.

8 = Those people who believe there is a benefit in ingesting fluoride can buy sodium fluoride tablets from a pharmacy.

QUESTION 2: ARE THERE ANY OTHER FLUORIDE-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS USED TO TREAT COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLIES THAT SHOULD BE SPECIFICALLY IN THE REGULATION? IF SO, WHAT ARE THEY?

ANSWER TO QUESTION 2: NO.

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982.

Yours sincerely,



Fluoride Scenario


to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 04:19 p.m.

History: This message has been replied to.

Fluoride added - "No way"

Sent from Windows Mail





Fluoride Free NZ

<medsafe@moh.govt.nz

08/01/2015 04:32 p.m.

History:

This message has been replied to.

SUBMISSION FORM

I do not give permission for my personal details to be released to persons under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to Consultation on Proposed Amendment to Regulations under the Medicines Act 1981 – Fluoride (2014)

“It is proposed that a new regulation be made under section 105(1)(i) that:

Fluoride containing substances, including the substances hydrofluorosilicic acid (HFA) and sodium silico fluoride (SSF) are not medicines for the purpose of the Act when they are manufactured and supplied or distributed for the purpose of fluoridating community water supplies.” Medsafe

Name:

Email:

Address:

Question 1. *Do you support the proposed amendment? If not why not?*

NO. I do not support the proposed amendment because:

1. Fluoride is not a water treatment like chlorine
2. Fluoride is added to the water as treatment for the disease of dental caries therefore it is a medicine
3. The Medicines Act is designed to protect people from the risk of indiscriminate use of medicines, reflecting the ethical codes of health professionals to “first do no harm”
4. The proposed amendment would effectively remove the safety precaution protecting people from harm thereby undermining the right of every New Zealander to be safe from the indiscriminate use of medicines

Question 2. *Are there other fluoride-containing compounds used to treat community water supplies that should be specifically named in the regulation? If so, what are they?*

NO. Fluoride and its compounds are **not** used to ‘**treat**’ community water supplies. In community water fluoridation (CWF) the **purpose** of fluoride and its compounds is to **treat people**

I do not wish to speak to my submission.

Email to: askmedsafe@moh.govt.nz

